

[REDACTED]

From: PlanningPolicyConsultation
Sent: 24 November 2017 16:52
To: Sunita Burke; [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: ANP - Cherwell submission

From: John Craven [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 November 2017 16:50
To: PlanningPolicyConsultation
Subject: Fw: ANP - Cherwell submission

November 24 2017

Dear Sir/Madam

Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan

I could like to add my support to the message submitted by my neighbour Mr Kevin Russell . We write as residents of The Lanes Conservation Area in Adderbury AD10.

One issue of particular significance to the conservation of our village is the omission, within the ANP, of any explicit reference to **backland** and **tandem development**. While **infill** is mentioned in the Plan, there are, as you know, important distinctions between these various terms which need to be identified to ensure there is no room for ambiguity when it comes to planning decisions within our village boundary.

We believe that backland and tandem development should not be permitted as this will have a debilitating impact on the special character, heritage, amenity and conservation of the pastoral landscape of our village. May I therefore respectfully ask you to consider the following points in the formulation of the Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan:

Objectives 5.2

-page 16 under first bullet point, please insert

'However, such infill excludes backland and tandem development which will not be supported.'

Policy AD10: Managing Design in the Conservation Area: The Lanes

Suggest the following addition to the text:

"Proposals promoting back land and tandem development will not be permitted as this will have a detrimental effect on the pastoral landscape of The Lanes character area"

Yours faithfully
John Craven

