

Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan 2015-2031

CONSULTATION STATEMENT



Published by Adderbury Parish Council to accompany the Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan submitted for examination under the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012.

March 2017 (updated Sept 2017)

Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan – Consultation Statement

Contents

- 1. Purpose**
- 2. Initial Community consultation – The TAP Residents Survey**
- 3. Further consultations (ANP1)**
- 4. The new pre-submission plan (ANP 2)**
- 5. Appendix**

1. Purpose

1.1 The purpose of this statement is to summarise the consultations undertaken, both formal and informal, during the preparation of the Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan, in accordance with Regulation 15 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012.

1.2 Regulation 15 requires that a "consultation statement" is submitted with the neighbourhood plan that:

- a) contains details of the persons and bodies who were consulted about the proposed neighbourhood development plan;
- b) explains how they were consulted;
- c) summarises the main issues and concerns raised by the persons consulted; and
- d) describes how these issues and concerns have been considered and, where relevant, addressed in the proposed neighbourhood development plan.

1.3 The project has progressed since 2012 in two related but separate phases. The original phase began in 2012 and ended with the decision not to submit the Plan for examination in November 2015. For completeness, all of the consultation work done during that stage is referenced here, as it continued to play an important part in how community opinion especially would shape the contents of the plan during its second phase.

1.4 That second phase started in early 2016 and proceeded through further informal consultations during spring/summer 2016 to the formal Pre Submission consultation period in November 2016 – February 2017.

2. Initial Community Consultations: The 2013 TAP Residents Survey

2.1 The Parish Council and the Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group consulted widely with the local community during the course of the plan preparation process, and the Neighbourhood Plan is based upon the results of these consultations, which have included meetings, open days and community surveys.

2.2 Adderbury Parish Council, the 'qualifying body', voted to prepare a Neighbourhood Plan in May 2012 and submitted its application for designation of a Neighbourhood Area coinciding with the Parish boundary in November 2012. The Neighbourhood Area was approved by Cherwell District Council, the local planning authority, on 7 June 2013.

2.3 Initial public meetings were held at St Mary's Church in November 2012 and at Christopher Rawlins School in February 2013 to inform villagers of the need for Adderbury to produce a Neighbourhood Plan and establish a vision of what our community wanted for Adderbury over the next 20 years.

2.4 After the November meeting, a steering committee was formed to manage the process of preparing 'The Adderbury Plan' (which became known as 'TAP'). The committee, drawing on the services of a cross-section of residents, compiled a Residents' Survey to give everyone (aged 11 and over) a chance to have their say on important aspects of village life. Teams of villagers (some 40 residents) came together to work on specific topics (Housing, Environment, Transport, etc.) to devise the questions that needed to be asked of the community. The survey was delivered to every home in the village with 'street captains' deployed to chase up residents who had not completed their survey. It was held in June 2013 and 1,090 residents representing 661 (59%) households responded. Full results of the TAP questionnaire are appended to this consultation statement in Appendix A.

2.5 In May 2013 a Business Survey was also carried out to elicit the future needs of Adderbury businesses. 70 businesses (77%) out of the 91 identified in the village responded.

2.6 Each of the topic teams then analysed the results, and, where possible, compared them with previous Adderbury community surveys (in 1994 and 2004) and with Census 2011 data for trends. The teams then put forward their proposals to go in the Plan together with a report of those results.

2.7 All of this work was distilled into a plan for the village including a set of village objectives:

- Protect and enhance the character and vitality of Adderbury
- Restrict new development to within current boundaries, and minimise the impact of new development on the village, the surrounding countryside, landscape and ecosystems
- Provide existing and future Adderbury residents with the opportunity to live in suitable homes
- Ensure Adderbury has and will continue to have the appropriate resources and services to support the size of village
- Encourage local employment and small-scale local businesses
- Protect our environment and support eco-friendly developments
- Control road traffic and reduce the need to drive by car around the village and to and from Adderbury
- Strengthen the leisure amenities available to villagers within Adderbury

On the basis of the village responses and the proposals, a Draft Plan (which became ANP1) was prepared in draft in August 2013 (see Appendix B) for informal consultation. It was posted to the village websites with a printed copy available in Adderbury Library. Further consultation meetings for the community were held at St Mary's Church and at Christopher Rawlins School in mid-September 2013. A flyer advertising the events is shown below.

Remember the TAP Questionnaire?



We have made a start on the
AdderburyNeighbourhood Plan based on what you
have told us.

Now you can attend a presentation on highlights of TAP, the
emerging Vision and Objectives for Adderbury at

Christopher Rawlins Primary School

At 7.30pm on Wednesday 18th September

or

St Mary's Church Adderbury

At 7.30pm on Thursday 19th September

3. Further Consultations (ANP1)

3.1 Between September 2013 and December 2015 a great deal of work was done on drafting a formal version of the Neighbourhood Plan (ANP1) and a Sustainability Appraisal (voluntarily, as the District Council had not issued a screening opinion requiring a Strategic Environmental Assessment).

3.2 The Pre Submission Plan (ANP1) was published for the statutory six week period in March 2015. The village magazine 'Contact' ran this in March 2015:

Environment

- Developments should be sympathetic to existing vernacular style of housing and the location of the site.
- The protection and monitoring of walls, hedges and trees should be continued under the existing Conservation area legislation.
- **Where are we?** - A design brief on the Built Environment has been included within the Plan together with a Statement of Community Consultation for Developers to follow.
- The continued protection and monitoring of walls, hedges and trees under the existing Conservation Area legislation together with development of wild life habitats has been included within the Plan.

**Read the Neighbourhood Plan at
www.adderbury.org & www.adderburypc.co.uk
websites or at the Adderbury Library now!**

Fine tuning of the Plan will be completed once the consultation period ends and will address any major concerns and omissions identified. The Plan will then be formally published and a referendum held by Cherwell District Council.

Why Bother?????

Without the Plan being adopted by the Adderbury community – and that includes you – we will continue to be entirely at the mercy of the developers and the big Councils!

The aim of the Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan is to leave the village with its identity intact and, we, as stewards, in a better position to shape its development over the planning horizon to 2031.

As identified by TAP, our villagers want to keep Adderbury as:

- a thriving village for “work, rest and play”
- accessible to Banbury but not a suburb.

Neighbourhood Plan Overview

In May 2013 the TAP Questionnaire was delivered to every dwelling in the Adderbury parish and was made available online. Responses to the Questionnaire were received from nearly 60% of households and led to the publication of The Adderbury Plan (TAP) at the end of August 2013. The responses to the TAP Questionnaire together with the analysis form a key part of the evidence base for the Neighbourhood Plan.

Since then a considerable amount of work has been undertaken by community members and parish councillors to develop the official Neighbourhood Plan.

What issues were identified? *Where are we now?*

From the TAP Questionnaire, what were the issues of concern to most residents that should be addressed in the Neighbourhood Plan?

Business, Economy and Employment

- Encourage the development of small non-retail businesses and serviced offices on the existing business park or brown field sites.
- **Where are we?** - New business locations and the development of more rural businesses are addressed in the Plan and a strategy to develop a retail centre to the village is proposed.

Community, Leisure & Well-being

- The Plan should actively encourage and support a new facility replacing the existing buildings in the Lucy Plackett playing field.
- **Where are we?** - The Plan proposes new premises to replace the existing buildings at the Lucy Plackett and further outdoor facilities.
- For the development of Parish amenities and services, we anticipate funding from the regulatory/other contributions of developers, local fund-raising and local authority/public loans.

Education

- While there is some support for development on the land opposite the school on Banbury Road - a possible school relocation area - the clear majority view is to expand the school on its existing site.
- **Where are we?** - A feasibility study is presently underway in both Adderbury and Deddington to see if either Primary School can be extended to cater for a further half form entry. This will mean that either some Deddington children will have to be transported to Adderbury for their primary education or the reverse.
- The Plan predicated all parish children being provided with a place at Christopher Rawlins Primary School if they so choose.
- In order to “future-proof” the Primary School, land west of Banbury Road – at the geographical centre of the village - has been designated



Turning on the TAP

A major community survey in early 2013 led to The Adderbury Plan (TAP) being shared with our residents in August 2013.

For the past 18 months the Parish Council has worked with the Adderbury community, organisations and businesses to refine TAP to meet the requirements of the Localism Act 2011 and to produce a formal Neighbourhood Plan for the future of Adderbury. The Plan is necessary to help the community ensure sustainable long-term development rather than the “free for all” seen recently.

Putting the Plan together has been a tortuous process involving professional advice and having long, detailed consultations with planners, developers, government agencies, Cherwell District Council, Oxfordshire County Council and neighbouring councils to ensure the Plan meets all the requirements of the Act.

The final stage will be an official Referendum this summer (held by the District Council) for Adderbury residents to adopt the Neighbourhood Plan.

However, before that, there is a final six week residents’ consultation on the content of the proposed Neighbourhood Plan.

This is your opportunity for a final say!

Have we got it right? – What have we missed? – What amendments or changes?

The proposed Plan will be available for all to see from Monday 9th March on the www.adderbury.org and www.adderburypc.co.uk websites and at the Library.

You will be able to discuss the Plan with members of the team at Consultation Meetings to be held from 11.00am to 1.00pm on the following Saturdays:

21 st March	Christopher Rawlins School
28 th March	Adderbury Library
11 th April	Christopher Rawlins School
18 th April	Adderbury Library

Your written comments (with the evidence supporting them) can be made at plan@adderbury.org, at the Consultation Meetings or left at the Library before 20th April. Those evidenced comments will be collated and published in an Appendix to the Plan.

in the Plan for future educational and community needs subject to funding.

Information & Communications

- All households should have 10+ Mbps broadband.
- **Where are we?** - The fibre cables for high speed broadband up to 80 Mbps have been installed and should become live before April 2015.

Housing

- West of Banbury Road was identified as the most sustainable site for the development of new homes.
- North of Aynho Road was the second most sustainable location.
- No more than 50 new homes should be built over the next 20 years.
- **Where are we?** - The Cherwell District Council modified Local Plan of January 2014 has a requirement for 1638 houses to be built across all its villages for the period 2014-2031. Of this total, permissions to date have been granted in Adderbury for 182 dwellings – significantly more than our proportionate share by any criteria. This does not include the permission granted for the Gracewell Care Home.
- A residential settlement boundary around Adderbury has been set out in the Plan to prevent development beyond the built village’s limits - inhibiting further inappropriate ribbon development. The Plan provides that Adderbury should not accept any further developments until after 2031, other than some limited infill and conversion developments identified by Cherwell District Council within the residential boundaries.
- The Neighbourhood Plan proposes a maximum development size of 2.5% of the existing housing stock within the settlement boundary.
- Land outside the residential settlement boundary is retained in the Plan for viable farms for sustainable food production.

Transport

- Construction of dedicated cycle paths in the village and to nearby facilities (e.g. the canal, the business park and Twyford Mill areas)
- Introduction of Variable Speed Indicators (VSI) on access points to the village to reduce incoming vehicle speed.
- Monitoring of traffic flows particularly as a consequence of the large development on the south side of Banbury.
- **Where are we?** - Pedestrian crossings, dedicated foot and cycle paths in the village and to local facilities are sought in the Plan as a matter of urgency.
- Variable Speed Indicators have been installed on access points to the village (Oxford Road, Milton Road and Aynho Road).
- The need to monitor traffic flows in the future is in the Plan. This will inform the dialogue with the County Council and other agencies on road system improvements (including the use of road narrowing and 20mph zones) to manage the increasing traffic.

3.3 There was not a significant response to the plan but those that did appeared to be generally supportive. However, the District Council remained concerned with the policy content and the robustness of the Plan as a development management tool. It encouraged the Parish Council to obtain an independent health check of the proposed submission plan. This was provided by Fortismere Associates and it also expressed these concerns and recommended that a new Plan be prepared and that, given the nature of the modifications necessary, the project should return to the Pre Submission stage.

4. The New Pre Submission Plan (ANP 2)

4.1 In January 2016, the Parish Council reconfigured the Steering Group, as some members of the previous team were unhappy with the decision made to abandon the ANP1 and did not wish to be involved any further. The planning consultants, RCOH Ltd, were appointed to assist the new Steering Group to complete the new Plan, which became known as ANP2.

4.2 A meeting was held with the District Council officers to review the problems of the ANP1 and to agree how a new Plan could avoid the same issues. The original task groups were reinstated and encouraged to update their evidence and to investigate new policy ideas. Based on their work, a list of revised policies was prepared in June 2016 to inform further informal consultations after the summer break.

4.3 In September 2016 it was decided that rather than consult again on matters that had already been fully consulted on as part of TAP, there needed to be a consultation focused on one of the highest profile issues – future leisure facilities for the village – around which there appeared to be some disagreement in the community. A questionnaire on the matter was published online and through 'Contact' magazine, which is delivered to every household in the village. 183 valid responses were received and the outcome was summarised in the article below. The full results of the questionnaire are appended to this consultation statement at Appendix C.

Results of the Adderbury Leisure Survey

As you are aware, the team of councillors and residents involved in drawing up the submission felt the need to gauge the level of community views on new options relating to leisure facilities in the village.

Following the presentations at Christopher Rawlins School and at the Institute, and completion of the questionnaire that closed on 30th September, 183 valid responses have been received from households across Adderbury (statistics summarising the results are attached).

A wide spectrum of views was received, showing how deeply households felt about the issues raised and some clear themes appeared:

Milton Road Site

The top three preferences at the Milton Road Site were for a large community centre, football pitches and woodland (or similar) uses. Of the comments received, the emphasis was on ensuring that the land was multi-use for the benefit of the whole community and a range of other sport activities were suggested with limited support. There was also some support for a cemetery or natural burial ground. Of the large community centre, several people cited King's Sutton community centre as a model to follow.

More than one household drew attention to the need to have "child and disabled -friendly" foot paths and cycle ways to the site and safe pedestrian crossings from St Mary's Road and the new Adderbury Fields development. Other access points to the site from Horn Hill Road were also suggested.

Lucy Plackett Site

The top preferences at the Lucy Plackett site were for upgrade of the play area (with several comments suggesting its condition was "pathetic", "terrible", "really poor") and consolidation of the existing buildings and their functions into a small community centre on the same plot. The availability of a large green space in the centre of the village was appreciated and although there was support for a cricket pitch in preference to football on the site some emphasised its value as an uncluttered open space safe for children.

How else should funds available to the Parish Council be used?

A lot of commentary was received on having more, and safe, footpaths and cycle ways around the village together with traffic calming measures and better parking. Away from the Milton Road site and the Lucy Plackett, comments focused on also using funds for sustaining and maintaining the Library, the Institute, the cemetery and the Lakes.

Fund Raising

As was stated in the presentations and in the introduction to the survey, funding for any leisure development was critical to what could be done and would require a lot of focused activity on the part of Adderbury residents. We are pleased to say that some 57 households indicated their willingness to be involved in fundraising.

In the introduction to the survey, we indicated that some funds could be realised from a "profit share" by releasing, for additional housing (about 6 homes), a small piece of land that will become available to the Parish Council as part of the already approved housing development on the north side of Milton Road. No objection was received to this proposal, but it will only go part of the way to meeting the funds required. The majority contribution will have to come from community fundraising, Sports England grants and funds from similar bodies including possibly the Lottery Fund. General funds raised should be used with the benefit of the whole community in mind. There will also be annual maintenance costs to be funded.

The Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan: Next steps

The input from the village on leisure facilities will now be used to shape the leisure policy submission.

In preparing for the Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan submission, most of the detailed policy work on housing, the environment, business, employment, transport and facilities has already been done based on initial community consultations. With the addition of the leisure submission, a complete Neighbour Plan will be put before the Parish Council at its meeting on 25th October.

If accepted, the Plan will then pass to Cherwell District Council and inspectors for scrutiny before entering a six week consultation period, before Christmas, for the community and certain stakeholders (utilities, County Council, etc) to comment. A referendum of villagers (organised by Cherwell District Council) is expected early in the New Year.

Thank you all for your contributions!

4.4 A Pre-submission version of the ANP2 was consulted on between November 2016 and February 2017 in accordance with Regulation 14 of the Regulations. A summary leaflet of the ANP2 is shown below.

4.5 The outcome was generally positive from the District Council and the statutory bodies (see the summary reports attached as Appendix D and Appendix E). Some developers with local land interests objected to the Plan not making any site allocations for new housing development and to it using a development boundary device (in Policy AD1) to contain development to suitable infill within the village.

Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan

At the time of writing it is anticipated that the proposed Neighbourhood Plan will be accepted by the Parish Council at their meeting on 25th October. The Plan then passes to Cherwell District Council and inspectors for scrutiny before entering a six week consultation period, before Christmas, for all of us and certain stakeholders (utilities, County Council, etc) to comment. When the Plan is approved, a village referendum will be organised by Cherwell District Council in the New Year.

We are writing to let you know that the full Plan will shortly be available on the www.adderbury.org and www.adderburypc.co.uk websites with a printed copy for inspection in the Library.

You are invited to make your comments on the Plan before December 13 via these websites or place them in the comments box in the Library.

More than 100 Adderbury residents have done a great deal of work over the last 4 years to get this neighbourhood plan to where we are now. The results of consultation meetings and surveys were distilled into the "TAP" report, which set out a vision and objectives for our village across a range of topics: housing, employment, education, community facilities, transport and the environment.

The headline objectives were that, whilst Adderbury should grow to allow a few more homes that it should stay as a village with clear buffers from Banbury and other villages. Since then over 180 new homes have been given approval in Adderbury and therefore this plan does not make provision for any more new homes. Other important objectives were to protect the character of our village and plan for the improvement of our employment provision, services and leisure facilities over the next 15 years.

It is important to note that some of the TAP objectives, such as traffic reduction and wildlife protection can't be addressed by land use planning policies and these will be addressed in a future Parish Plan prepared by Adderbury Parish Council.

This Neighbourhood Plan contains 18 land-use planning policies that will help to meet these objectives by being used to determine planning applications in the area. The policies will aim to protect the special character of the Parish to encourage development proposals for the benefit of the local community.


These policies are summarised below to give you a flavour:

Policy AD1: Settlement Boundary

The Neighbourhood Plan defines an Adderbury Settlement Boundary closely following the built up area of Adderbury.

Proposals for infill development within the boundary will be supported, provided they accord with the design and development management policies of the development plan and other policies of the Neighbourhood Plan.

Proposals for development outside the boundary will only be supported if they are consistent with development plan policies on local landscape protection and the protection of the natural environment.



Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan: Policies Map
Inset A
Key
Parish boundary
Settlement boundary

Policies AD2,3 & 4: Green infrastructure, Local Green Spaces, Open Spaces

The Neighbourhood Plan proposes the establishment of a Green Infrastructure Network around and within the village comprising a variety of green infrastructure assets, including informal open space and green spaces, allotments, playing fields. Assets of biodiversity value, play areas, footpaths, bridleways and cycle ways.

New development will not normally be permitted on land designated as Local Green Space or Local Open Space except in very special circumstances.

The following locations are designated as **Local Green Spaces**:

- Lucy Plackett Playing Field (Off Round Close Road);
- Colin Butler Green (Registered Common);
- Adderbury Lakes Local Nature Reserve, off Lake Walk;
- Adderbury Cemetery and the Quaker Burial Grounds and Meeting House;
- Sports and community use Land to north side of Milton Road;
- Historic stonewalled lambing paddock fronting onto Aynho Road (To west of the Pickled Ploughman Public House); and
- Field with stone wall boundary abutting New Road, Adderbury. (West of Pump House Garage). Also known as Thistlewayte's Field.
- The Village Green

Local Open Spaces are:

- Former railway embankment on southern edge of the Lucy Plackett Field;
- Triangle of land at Horn Hill Road, Berry Hill Road and Milton Road;
- Copse of trees south of Greenhill and Summers Close off Banbury Road;
- The Village Pound on The Horn Hill Road, Berry Hill Road junction;
- Bloor Homes, Aynho Road;
- David Wilson Homes, south of Milton Road;
- Rawlins Close Open Space, off Margaret Road;
- The Crescent Open Space, Banbury Road;
- The Rise Open Space, off Banbury Road;
- Land to west of Adderbury Court Open Space;
- Adderbury Court Garden, Banbury Road;
- Keytes Close Amenity Area; Aynho Road;
- Sydenham Close Open Space, Aynho Road;
- Allotments, Adderbury House;
- Henry Jepp Close Open Space, Aynho Road; and
- Lake Walk Green.

Policy AD5: Local Gaps

The Neighbourhood Plan provides Local Gaps (green "buffer zones") between the village and Bodicote/Banbury and between the village and Milton to prevent the

coalescence of the settlements into one built up area.

Development proposals within a Local Gap will only be supported if they do not harm, individually or cumulatively, its function and open character.

Policies AD6 to AD16: Design in Different Village Areas and Settings:

In each designated area there are appropriate design requirements encompassing such matters as: plot ratios; building height and form; type of building materials; boundary walls, fences, hedges and front gardens and their alignment to the road; tree plantings; natural verges to the highway; informal green spaces; footways; maintaining lines of sight to the Church and views of the countryside

Policy AD17: Local Heritage Assets

The Neighbourhood Plan identifies the following buildings and structures as Local Heritage Assets by way of their local architectural or historic interest:

- The Crescent
- The Pump House Garage
- The Walled Lambing Paddock, Aynho Road
- The Pound, Horn Hill Road
- Long Wall, Long Wall Path
- Twyford Tea Gardens, Banbury Road

Proposals for a change of use or alteration that will result in harm to the significance of a Local Heritage Asset, or for its demolition, will be resisted.

Policy AD18: New Community Facilities

The Plan allocates land off Milton Road, West Adderbury for sports and community uses. Proposals for such uses of the land will be supported, provided:

- i. Any buildings on site are ancillary to such uses and have regard to the location of the site in the West Adderbury – Milton Local Gap;
- ii. Vehicular access is made from Milton Road and sufficient car parking spaces are provided;
- iii. Safe pedestrian and cycle access is developed to the site; and
- iv. The layout, the landscape scheme and any lighting have regard to the proximity of the adjoining residential and employment uses.

The recent leisure survey of Adderbury households identified multiple possible uses on the Milton Road site with a preference for a large community centre, football pitches and part woodland on the site.

Proposals for the improvement and extension of the community facilities at Lucy Plackett Fields will be supported, provided they do not undermine the visual integrity of the Local Green Space.

The leisure survey identified multiple possible uses on the Lucy Plackett Field with preference for a small community centre and an upgrade of the play area.

4.6 Having analysed these responses, the Steering Group resolved to follow the recommendations that with some minor modifications to the wording of some policies (and to their supporting text), the ANP2 could proceed to submission and examination. It was also mindful that a group of local residents had criticised the ways in which the ANP2 had been publicised during the Regulation 14 consultation period. It disagreed with

the criticism and its explanation of how it met the Regulation requirements is attached as Appendix F.

5. Appendix

Appendix A - The Adderbury Plan Questionnaire results

Appendix B - The Draft TAP (2015)

Appendix C – Results of the Adderbury Leisure Survey

Appendix D – Pre-submission Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan Summary of Statutory Body & Developer Comments

Appendix E – Draft Adderbury NP_Reg 14 Report Feb 2017

Appendix F – Response from Steering Group on Plan Publicity



The Adderbury Plan

Residents Questionnaire

RESULTS

RESPONSE

HOUSEHOLDS	RESPONSES	OUT OF	%
AREA 1 = TWYFORD	233	513	45
AREA 2 = MID ADDERBURY	213	334	64
AREA 3 = WEST ADDERBURY	213	279	76
TOTAL	659	1126	59

INDIVIDUALS	1090
--------------------	-------------

Some questions are out of sequence as they have been moved into their category headings

GENERAL

Q3 Please enter the number of people in your household in each age group:

(Enter appropriate number in each box)

	0 - 4	5 - 10	11-15	16-17	18 - 21	22 - 39	40 - 59	60 – 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	Tot
Male	63	54	49	19	25	85	229	56	114	82	16	792
Female	55	48	30	16	23	95	217	56	133	67	26	766
Total	118	102	79	35	48	180	446	112	247	149	42	1558
%response			58	31	48	65	83	94	94	93	95	81

Q19 Your Gender (Tick one box only)

Male	516
Female	568
Not specified	6

Q20 to which age group do you belong? (Tick one box only)

Q20 Age Group	Area 1	2	3	Grand Total
11-15	17	8	21	46
16-17	3	2	6	11
18-21	6	8	9	23
22-39	52	34	32	118
40-59	140	107	122	369
60-64	35	35	35	105
65-74	67	82	84	233
75-84	54	32	53	139
85	14	15	11	40
(blank)	3	1	2	6
Grand Total	391	324	375	1090

Q21 How long have you lived in the village? (Tick one box only)

Less than a year	40
1-5 years	189
6-15 years	337
16-25 years	177
26-50 years	286
51 + years	55
Not specified	6

EDUCATION

Q4 Do you have children of primary school age or below? (Households)

Yes	111
No	542

Q5 Do you currently use childcare for your child / children? (Households)

Yes	49
No	346

Q6 What form of childcare do you currently use for your child/children? (Tick all that apply) (Households)

	In Village	Out of Village
Child-minder	10	8
Foundation class in school	6	1
Breakfast club	0	4
Family or friends	11	13
Day nursery	1	21
After-school club	2	4
Toddler group	7	3
Holiday play-scheme	1	3

Q7 How, if at all, could the childcare provided in the village be changed to meet your needs? (Households)

12 - A day nursery in the village.
16 - Before and after school club linked to the primary school.

Q8 Do you have pre-school age children? (Households)

Yes	53
No	57

Q9 If yes – How many are planned to attend Christopher Rawlins Primary School in the future?

56

Q10 If any are not planned to attend Christopher Rawlins School, please mark your reason below by- entering the number of children in the appropriate box below

Already planned to send to another school	5
School was full	0
Private Education	5
Home Schooling	0
Other	5

Q12 Do any of your primary age children attend Christopher Rawlins Primary School?

If Yes , please enter how many	88
--------------------------------	----

Q13 If No please select your reason and enter the number of children affected in the relevant box

Already started at another school	15
School was full	4
Private Education	10
Home Schooling	1
Other	9

Q22 Which ADULT EDUCATION classes you would attend if offered in the village?

Key Word	%
Languages	15%
Art	9%
History	7%
French	6%
Dancing	3%
Fit	3%
Spanish	3%
Yoga	3%
Italian	3%
Music	3%

Q23 If the demand for places at Christopher Rawlins School were to exceed the school's capacity in the future, do you think the authorities should: (Tick one box only)

Expand School on existing site	605
Relocate school to larger site within village	270
Bus excess children to other local schools	33
Merge school with another that has more room	20
Other	33

EMPLOYMENT AND BUSINESS

Q25 Are you at present: (Tick one box that best describes you)

An employee	363
Self-employed - employing other people	41
Self-employed - not employing anyone	100
Unemployed	8
In a government training scheme	1
In full-time education	65
Retired	434
Unwaged housewife/husband	36
Permanently sick/disabled	14
None of these	14
Not specified	14

Q26 Where is your main place of work or study? (Tick one box only)

At home	233
Elsewhere in Adderbury	35
Outside Adderbury but within 10 miles	236
Within 11-30 miles	122
Over 30 miles	89

Q27 Should Adderbury plan for a natural hub for shopping and other community services (as in Deddington and Bloxham)?

	Yes	No
	557	297

Q28 If yes -please describe where this should be:

	%
High St	51
Banbury Rd	17
The Green	13
Croft Lane	6
Aynho Rd	6
Ind Estates	6

Q29 Should the following be encouraged in and around the village?

	Yes	No
Tourism development/attractions	389	359
Small business development	647	188
Small scale industrial workshops	427	324
Creative industries (artists, designers,etc)	670	120
Independent retailers (antiques, clothing etc)	551	232
Employer of 100 people or more in the village	139	618
Camping Sites	313	423
Sites for Touring Caravans	266	491

Sites for permanent holiday Caravans	85	688
Bed and Breakfast Trade/ Guest Houses	649	142
Hotels	294	433
Restaurants	661	159

Q31 How often do you use the following village shops and services?

	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less	Never
Village shop	91	346	217	289	94
Hairdressers	2	13	193	172	587
Pubs / Restaurants	19	223	348	313	92
Garage	1	3	41	389	529
Vet	1	0	17	113	809
Post Office	7	54	150	314	449
Retail outlets at Twyford Mill	2	4	41	530	380
Pig farm shop	1	4	36	147	751

Q32 what other shops and services would you use regularly if they were available in the village?

Key Word	%	Count
Shop	30%	125
Butcher	17%	70
Co-op	10%	40
Deli	8%	31
Office if Open	4%	18
Food	4%	17
Restaurant	4%	16
Dentist	3%	14
Chemist	3%	14
Takeaway	3%	13
Pharmacy	3%	12

LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Q33 How well do you believe the Parish Council acts on behalf of the village on major issues with Cherwell District and Oxfordshire County Councils and other organisations? Please tick one box only

Well	235
Reasonably well	505
Poorly	63
No opinion	287

Q34 what more do you believe the Parish Council could do to the benefit of the village?

	%
Listen more to villagers' views	27%
Better PR	18%
Be more in touch with the village	14%
Be more open & transparent	13%
Be stronger & exert more pressure on CDC etc	11%
Challenge the make-up & structure	10%

HEALTH AND WELL-BEING

Q35 If there were Health Care Professionals that visited the village on a regular basis, would you choose to use their services? Tick all that apply

GP	588
Midwife/Mother & Baby Clinic	52
Wellness/Healthcheck Clinic (flu, blood pressure)	397
Specialist Clinics (asthma/diabetes)	125
Podiatry/ Chiropody	237
Dentist	393
Health Promotion Sessions (nutrition/exercise)	186

Q36 Do you have difficulty leaving the house for health reasons?

30 people requested help

Q37 To assist people unable to leave the house for health reasons, would you volunteer to help with any of the above?

There are 111 responses to this question of which 60 have provided contact phone numbers.

ADDERBURY CHURCHES

Q38 How often do you attend religious services at the places of worship below?

	weekly	monthly	occasionally	never
St Mary's	82	43	411	416
St George's RC	7	6	24	609
St John's Milton	3	2	31	594
Methodist Church	5	0	55	587
Quakers	0	1	25	599
Outside of Adderbury	46	20	186	462

Q39 How important to you are the following aspects of that place of worship?

	Very important	important	not important
Sunday worship	192	207	172
Baptisms, weddings and funerals	287	274	77

Morality	139	157	133
Spirituality	153	150	142
Social outreach	128	199	119
Healing ministry	65	91	193
Music	140	290	115
Social events	142	323	101

INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION

Q16 Do you have access to the internet at home? (Households)

YES	552
NO	98

Q17 if you know your broadband download speed please enter here (Households)

			TOTAL %	AREA 1	AREA 2	AREA 3
6-9 Mb/s			45	39	32	54
10 + M/bs			39	43	68	14
less than 6 Mb/s			16	18	0	32

Q41 WHAT telephone do you most frequently use at home? Tick one box only

Landline	742
Mobile	323

Q42 Do you use social media? Tick all boxes that apply

Twitter	132
Facebook	353
Google+	293

Q43 If you use a mobile phone which network do you use and how do you rate their signal strength within the village?

% scores	Excellent	Good	Reasonable	Poor
Vodafone	17	42	24	17
AREA 1	22	46	24	10
2	13	35	26	26
3	16	42	24	19
O2	17	33	22	28
1	28	36	27	9
2	17	33	18	31
3	5	31	20	44

EE	15	42	26	17
1	17	52	22	9
2	14	41	20	24
3	13	30	37	20
TOTAL	15	35	30	20

Q44 how often do you visit the Adderbury website www.adderbury.org?

Tick one box only

Weekly	61
Monthly	89
Occasionally	455
Never	320
Not aware of	94

Q45 What changes to the village website would encourage you to visit it more often?

Various responses passed to website management team

Q46 How often do you read your copy of Adderbury Contact? Tick one box only

Every month	885
Occasionally	114
Never	38
Not Aware of	16

Q47 how often do you use these Village Library Services?

	Weekly / fortnightly	Monthly	less frequently	Never
Book Lending	111	131	219	536
Book Reference	14	18	147	618
Computers	7	7	64	707
DVD Lending	6	21	141	633
Photocopying	7	14	104	677

Q48 What future developments for better communications should we plan for?

Key Word	%
Faster Broadband	75%

COMMUNITY FACILITIES

Community Venues:

Q49 How frequently have you used the following community facilities for meetings or events in the last 12 months?

	weekly	monthly	occasionally	never
The Institute:	38	107	513	298
Christopher Rawlins: School	31	24	172	634
Methodist church hall	32	46	158	642
Lucy Plackett activity centre:	40	17	172	626
Tennis club	27	4	69	748
St Mary's church	69	40	387	427
Sports & Social club:	7	1	35	798
Bowls Club:	26	16	97	718
Church House/Library	59	114	221	510
The Bell	49	106	357	402
The Coach & Horses	18	41	208	611
The Pickled Ploughman	17	65	357	467
The Red Lion	43	131	463	309

Q50 If you have used any of the following for meetings or events, how did you rate the standard of facilities?

	good	reasonable	poor
The Institute:	182	341	67
Christopher Rawlins: School	104	103	3
Methodist church hall	69	141	23
Lucy Plackett activity centre:	32	99	81
Tennis club	25	59	9
St Mary's church	184	200	27
Sports & Social club:	9	11	15
Bowls Club:	76	53	2
Church House/Library	240	134	10
The Bell	116	255	48
The Coach & Horses	49	129	30
The Pickled Ploughman	178	156	25
The Red Lion	244	244	20

Q51 how do you think the village should enhance its community facilities?

	TOTAL	%	AREA 1	2	3
By improving existing meeting places	373	38	32	40	41
By developing a purpose built community centre	355	36	45	34	39
No change at all	263	26	23	26	30

Q52 If you do think a purpose built community centre should be developed, where do you think it should be sited?

	%
Lucy Plackett	45
Banbury Road	19
Milton Road	15
Croft Lane	5
Opposite The Plough	4
At the School	3
Tennis club	2
Industrial estate	2

Q53 How do you rate the children's play facilities?

	excellent	good	reasonable	poor
Lucy Plackett play area	84	364	237	54
The Rise play area	14	127	171	117

Q54 Do you have any comments about the play areas:

Key Word	%
Steeple Aston is a good example	12
Facilities are tired and need a big facelift	56

Community activities

Q55 How frequently do you participate in physical activity in the village?

	weekly	monthly	occasionally	never
Tennis	20	7	57	748
Squash	6	0	16	795
Football	6	5	24	784
Bowls	16	0	12	787
Golf	18	12	73	727
Running	78	20	66	669
Walking	406	93	146	282
Cycling	69	62	154	561
Basketball /MUGA	2	8	22	786
Fishing	1	2	24	786

Q56 Do you participate in physical activity outside of the village (excluding competitive away matches)?

Key Word	%
Swimming	15%
Gym	28%
Golf	13%

Walking	11%
Tennis	4%
Cricket	3%
Cycling	3%

Q57 In which other sports/physical activities would you participate if they were available in the village?

Key Word	%	Count
Swimming	16%	44
Yoga	7%	18
Badminton	7%	18
Cricket	5%	15
Fit	5%	14
Dance	5%	14
Walking	4%	12
Pilates	4%	12
Gym	4%	12
Cycling	4%	11
Netball	3%	9

TRANSPORT AND TRAFFIC

Q58 how often do you use the bus?

	daily	weekly	monthly	less frequently	never
S4 Banbury to Oxford	13	88	99	284	510
School bus	45	0	1	12	736

Q59 If you do use the bus, how do you rate the service?

	good	reasonable	poor
Route	289	154	20
Frequency	222	172	54
Reliability	264	147	25
Cost	148	105	90

Q60 Do you use a bicycle - how many return journeys do you make in a typical week?

Tick one box only

Do not use a bicycle	672
0 to 5	207
6 to 10	15
11 to 20	5
21 to 29	0
30 or more	0

Q61 what would encourage you to use your bicycle more within the village and /or outside the village?

Key Word	%
Cycle Paths	85%

Q62 Do you feel traffic passes through the village roads too quickly?

Tick one box only

Yes	734
No	210

Q63 if yes, which ways do you think could be most effective in restricting SPEEDING traffic?**Q65 In all likelihood traffic VOLUMES through the village will continue to increase with further development in the Banbury area (eg Bankside). What could be most effective in dealing with traffic VOLUMES?**

Place up to two grid reference (eg A6, F7) from the Adderbury Street Map (see centre pages) in each appropriate box to show where the priorities should be for these:

Combined Q63 /64/65 result – areas of major concern in red, secondary concern in yellow

	Banbury – Oxford Rd's	High St – Horn Hill Rd	Twyford	Aynho Road	Milton – Berry Hill Rd
Speed Bumps	11	29	9	-	-
Road Narrowing	2	79		-	-
Restricted Access		23	4	-	-
Speed Cameras	32	41			10
Local Access Only Traffic Signs	6	113	4	45	5
Pedestrian Crossings	47	-	-	2	11
Variable speed indicators	79	24	4	7	31
Reduced 20 mph Speed Zones	16	55	-	16	-
Remodelled Junctions	32	24	-	42	13
Traffic Lights	47	-	-	5	-
Village Gates	28	2	-	14	32

Q67 Is there a need for additional footpaths and/or upgrading of existing footpaths within the village?

If yes, please state where in the village:

- New footpaths along Berry Hill Rd, between Horn Hill and Oxford Roads
- New Pedestrian Crossing point on Berry Hill Rd at the junction of St Mary's Road
- New footpaths along Aynho Road from the Hospice to Banbury Business Park

Q18 How many roadworthy vehicles in your household park off road or on road?

Off road	883
On road	233

Q68. Is there a need for off-street parking in the village?

If yes give up to two grid references from the Adderbury Street Map

Only 90 suggested off-street parking areas, with no clear preference other than different fields off Cross Hill Rd and Croft Lane

SERVICES AND UTILITIES

Q69 Does the village suffer from any of the following types of disturbance?

	a great deal	occasionally	not at all
Unpleasant smells	12	304	412
Noise pollution	84	307	333
Light pollution	52	97	496
Smoke and air pollution	15	120	518
Litter	41	515	217
Pet waste / litter	123	495	176
Anti social behaviour	14	425	277

Q70 How do you rate the following services provided in the village?

	good	reasonable	poor
Mains water supply and quality	655	250	50
Mains gas supply	767	154	5
Mains electricity supply	515	352	94
Sewerage	641	231	49
Refuse collection	710	214	44
Street lighting	452	384	111
Ambulance Service	539	112	8
Fire Service	449	106	3
Road sweeping	224	295	288
Grass verge cutting	209	401	300
Post Office	169	313	257
Police	244	294	127
Gritting	170	375	342
Neighbourhood Watch Scheme	250	317	44
Dog litter bin provision	206	390	145
Waste bin provision	210	426	200
Recycling provision	269	308	295
Pavements and gutters	136	442	319

ENVIRONMENT

Q72 Which aspects of the village of Adderbury make it distinctive?

Tick the boxes as appropriate

None	52
Hornton stone in house facades	789
Perimeter walls and hedges	440
Village community	666
Village societies and organisations	587
Working farms and agricultural enterprises	376

Q73 Which elements should the Plan encourage being included in all new buildings?

Tick the boxes as appropriate

None	31
Sympathetic to location within village	840
Facade of local stone in or near conservation area	685
Garden	586
Height of buildings limited to 2 storeys	707
Limit fence / hedge height to 1.5 metres	435
Off-road parking	790

Q74 How important to you is the countryside around the village?

Tick one box only

Very important	842
Important	151
Not very important	17

Q75 If Adderbury has to accept new housing development it is possible it might be on green field sites outside the existing edge of the village.

How important to you are the following in protecting our environment?

	Very important	important	not very important
Woodland and bio-diversity strips between the development and the new countryside edge	701	188	54
Keeping important views of the village/St. Marys Church that you see when approaching the village	657	210	100
Keeping Village Greens, the Lucy Plackett Field and the Adderbury Lakes Local Nature Reserve	874	115	10

Q76 How often do you visit the Adderbury Lakes Local Nature Reserve?

Tick one box only

Daily	31
Weekly	108
Monthly	186
Occasionally	604
Never	90
Not aware of their existence	14

Q77 How often do you use the local field paths? Tick one box only

Daily	139
Weekly	220
Monthly	158
Occasionally	361
Never	151

Q79 Do you think the village conservation area should be extended?

If yes, would you like to suggest some possible areas to be included?

Only 47 expressed a yes view, with no clear preference

ENERGY

Q80 How would you like to see the village's energy supply organized in the future?

Please indicate the strength of your support for the following options:

	high	medium	low
By centralised power supplier (like today)	438	180	49
Decentralised (local) power/heat supply via community owned and managed solution,	105	159	213
Solar Photovoltaic Panels for electricity generation located in a field/ scrub land with minimal visual impact	179	185	244
Solar Photo Voltaic panels on the roof of suitable buildings	153	189	279
Wind turbines along M40 corridor or other suitable sites	131	172	345
Combined heat and power boilers which generate electricity and use the waste heat for heating buildings and hot water in the school and other public buildings	248	226	135
Other power station using agricultural waste	100	215	214

Q81 What kind of green technology should be included in every new building (private and public)?

Please indicate the strength of your support for the following options

	high	medium	low
Solar Photo Voltaic Panels for electricity generation on roofs	238	163	260
Solar thermal on roofs for hot water	242	187	232
Ground source and air source heating	274	216	120
Combined heat and power boilers	291	230	84
Improved housing insulation	723	103	12
Rain water collection	606	174	34
None	32	9	45

HOUSING

Housing & Planning

In this section you are asked to consider how many or if any new homes should be built in Adderbury over the next twenty years; the most sustainable locations to build new homes; and what sort of homes should be built.

In making your responses please refer to the Adderbury Housing & Planning Map in the centre pages of this questionnaire

The Housing & Planning Map shows the extent of the Adderbury Conservation Area and “vista points” (as designated by Cherwell DC); the Sor Brook flood area of flood risk (as designated by the Environment Agency); greens and green spaces in and around the village; the Banbury South ‘green buffer’ (as proposed by Cherwell DC to prevent Adderbury merging with Banbury and Bodicote) and a 1km (0.62 mile) circular zone centred on Christopher Rawlins School. The map is also marked in red with ‘zones’ A to K which are locations some parishioners identified as being suitable and others identified as being unsuitable for housing development at the recent presentations held in the Church on 22 November 2012 and at Christopher Rawlins school on 2 February 2013 to which all villagers were invited.

In making your responses, please consider the aim that any new development must be ‘sustainable’. Cherwell DC defines sustainability as “*managing and enhancing the environment and improving the quality of life for existing and future generations*”. It could mean the Adderbury Plan will seek sites most suited to minimising car journeys and instead encouraging sustainable journeys (by foot, bicycle and public transport) to both village and Banbury services.

Q15 Is your household:

(Tick more than one box as appropriate)

Owner occupied	584
Private rented	41
Residential care	1
Housing Association rented	22
Second Home (eg weekend)	1
Shared ownership (part owned/part rented)	1

We estimate there are another 60 rented homes which took no part in the questionnaire

Q82. How many new homes should be built in Adderbury over the next 20 years?

Tick one box.

No New homes	165
Up to 10 homes	99
Up to 25 homes	261
Up to 50 homes	272
Up to 100 homes	177
More than 100 homes	32

Q83 What sort of housing development would you support in Adderbury over the next 20 years?


Show your level of support for each option.

	High Support	Medium Support	Low Support	No Support
Infilling with single homes	397	230	82	122
Infilling with small groups of up to five houses	291	299	123	131
Larger sites within the built up area of up to 15 houses	114	242	189	275
Large sites on greenfield sites around the edge of the village of up to 50 houses	74	102	124	524
Redevelopment of 'brownfield sites' (i.e., sites currently in some other non-residential and non-agricultural use)	290	260	137	158
Subdivision of larger homes into smaller houses and or flats	105	225	211	265
No further homes are needed: there is a sufficient stock and variety already.	175	90	102	278

Q84 What sort of new homes should be built in Adderbury over the next 20 years?

Show your level of support for each option.

	High Support	Medium Support	Low Support	No Support
Smaller / starter homes with up to two bedrooms	378	298	82	118
Family homes with three to four bedrooms, garage and own garden	316	327	99	103
Larger detached family houses of more than four bedrooms	81	149	268	278
Age restricted homes for the elderly	235	323	134	123
'Affordable' homes for low income households (for rent and shared ownership)	209	234	167	212
No further homes are needed: there is a sufficient stock and variety already	162	88	72	307



Q85 Referring to the Adderbury Housing & Planning Map identify the two zones which you consider to be the most appropriate and “sustainable” locations for development.

For example if you most favour the zone with the red letter "A", enter "A" into the box. If you have a location in mind that is not currently zoned put in the grid reference (e.g. W3)

Count of Respondents	Area			
My most favoured zone	1	2	3	Grand Total
A	48	49	86	183
E	21	29	45	95
H	43	29	9	81
d	12	20	22	54
L	18	13	17	48
F	21	12	11	44
J	19	16	9	44
M	14	11	10	35
B	5	10	4	19
G	9	8		17
C	3	2	9	14
I5			4	4
X7	2			2
Y7			2	2
A1	2			2
Y5			2	2
H7			2	2
Total of Above	217	199	232	648

Count of Respondents	Area			
My second most favoured zone	1	2	3	Grand Total
e	18	32	56	106
L	25	15	35	75
H	31	24	10	65
A	21	22	18	61
F	19	20	21	60
d	8	21	26	55
J	21	21	6	48
G	21	12	3	36
B	15	6	9	30
M	7	4	9	20
C	3	8	3	14
e4			4	4
Y5			2	2
?		2		2
E5		1	1	2
J6			2	2
Total Of Above	189	188	205	582

Count of Respondents	Area			
I do not consider any of the zones to be sustainable locations- enter X	1	2	3	Grand Total
X	59	51	78	188

Q86 Referring to the Adderbury Housing & Planning Map identify the two zones which you consider to be the least appropriate and sustainable locations.

For example if you least favour the zone with the red letter "A", enter "A" into the box. If you have a location in mind that is not currently zoned put in the grid reference (e.g. W3)

Count of Respondents	Area			
My least favoured zone	1	2	3	Grand Total
a	66	72	15	153
j	4	15	71	90
H	3	11	68	82
C	44	16	13	73
F	5	20	18	43
E	31	9	3	43
M	12	16	10	38
L	6	23	2	31
B	13	9	6	28
G	4	9	15	28
D	21	3	1	25
f7			4	4
x	1	1	1	3
W5	2			2
Y4/5	2			2
Total of Above	214	204	227	645

Count of Respondents	Area			
My second least favoured zone	1	2	3	Grand Total
h	7	15	53	75
G	10	10	50	70
B	33	19	8	60
J	5	14	41	60
a	26	22	10	58
F	12	27	17	56
E	31	14	1	46
d	33	5	7	45
I	10	26	6	42
C	14	18	10	42
M	8	14	5	27
g7		1	4	5
x	2	1	1	4
?		2		2
W6	2			2
Total of Above	193	188	213	594

Count of Respondents	Area			
I do not consider any of the zones to be sustainable locations-enter X	1	2	3	Grand Total
X	48	49	88	185

Q87 Are you satisfied with the design, quality and type of new housing developments in Adderbury over the past ten years? Tick one box only.

Generally, yes	752
No	130
Not important to me	97

YOUR VISION FOR THE FUTURE OF ADDERBURY

Q88 If you could change one aspect of the village what would it be?

Of the 410 responses:

- 15% - sort out the problem of on-street parking through the village
- 14% - a better shop than today, eg a Co-op, deli, shop/post office merged, build on main road as part of development, retail outlets in Twyford Mil
- 14% - restrict through traffic
- 13% - new community centre - possibly on main road as part of development
- 8% - careful in-keeping development, with some affordable housing
- 7% - better control of speeding traffic
- 5% - no change – fine as it is
- 4% - better integration of Adderbury & Twyford
- 2% - better facilities for youth
- 2% - better sports facilities – skateboard park, cycle park, cricket
- 2% - improve Lucy Plackett AC
- 2% - build cycle paths

Q89 Which aspect of the village is the most important to retain?

Of the 562 responses:

- 23% - stay as a village, retaining current boundaries / conservation area and ensuring a clear buffer from Bodicote and elsewhere
- 15% - community spirit, sense of community, belonging, etc
- 9% - identity of local stone architecture, historic buildings
- 9% - village green, green spaces
- 5% - village character
- 5% - rural community
- 4% - St Mary's church
- 3% - our pubs
- 3% - our shop
- 3% - Lucy Plackett playing field
- 3% - surrounding countryside and approaches to village
- 2% - beauty & charm
- 2% - a good school
- 2% - the Lakes
- 2% - the library

Q90 What is your vision for the future of Adderbury?

436 responses - summarised by these statements

22% A vibrant village retaining its rural character, but still modern, viable and sustainable

21% Controlled small sensitive sustainable development within current boundaries – do not let us become a suburb of Banbury

20% Stay as it is. No change

17% A thriving village community with sustainable services and enterprise

9% More small businesses providing better facilities and local employment

7% Continued growth of young families who want to be part of the village community, with provision of suitable housing for them

2% Development of a community centre/hub possibly encompassing school and shops

Q92 Any significant improvements to the village infrastructure that you might have in your vision will need to be paid for. Please indicate which of these possible funding methods you would support:

Allow a number of 25 plus new house developments to be built so that we can get developers' funding (section 106 / CIL)	280
Apply for grants and build up funds through money generating activities	443
Apply for long term loans which will have to be repaid by raising the precept (council tax) that each household will have to pay	113

THE ADDERBURY PLAN

Draft 29th August 2013



THE ADDERBURY PLAN

Draft 29th August 2013

Index

1. Introduction

- ◆ Why
- ◆ What is Adderbury trying to achieve
- ◆ How the Plan is organised

2. Vision for Adderbury

- ◆ History
- ◆ Current Statistics
- ◆ Adderbury Speaks
- ◆ Summary Proposals
- ◆ The Vision
- ◆ Summary Policies

3. The Consultation & Proposals

- ◆ Business, Economy and Employment
- ◆ Community, Leisure and Well-Being
 - ◆ Recreational & community facilities
 - ◆ Health & wellbeing
 - ◆ Helping the Older Population
 - ◆ Faith
 - ◆ Local Government
- ◆ Education
- ◆ Information & Communications
- ◆ Housing
- ◆ Transport
- ◆ Environment

Introduction

This document, The Adderbury Plan (TAP), is part of the Government's new approach to planning, which aims to give local people more say about what goes on in their area. This is set out in the "Localism Act" that came into force in April 2012.

TAP provides a Vision for the future of the village, and sets out clear planning policies to realise this vision. These policies fit in with higher level planning policy, as required by the Localism Act and the National Planning Policy Framework.



TAP has been developed through extensive consultation with the residents and businesses of Adderbury. Details of the consultation have been recorded in a series of reports that (together with the Consultation Statement) are available to view on the Adderbury Plan website (www.Adderburyplan.info) and in the Adderbury Library.

The Consultation Statement provides an overview of the consultation, demonstrating that it fully accords with the requirements of the Localism Act. This consultation has included meeting the requirements of Regulation 14 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012. The Plan has been amended where appropriate in response to consultation comments.

How The Adderbury Plan fits into the Planning System

Although the Government's intention is for local people to decide what goes on in their towns, the Localism Act sets out some constraints. One of these is that all Neighbourhood Plans must be in line with higher level planning policy. That is, Neighbourhood

Plans must be in line with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and local policy, in particular Cherwell District Council's Core Strategy. Adderbury may be expected to accept up to 50 new houses over the next twenty years.

The Localism Act allows TAP to provide for *more* than this number of houses and amount of employment land, but it does not allow TAP to provide for *less*.

Neighbourhood Plans also must be in line with European Regulations on strategic environmental assessment and habitat regulations. A Sustainability Appraisal (SA) of the Plan has been undertaken, and has been important in shaping the plan. The document may be viewed in full on the Adderbury Plan website (www.Adderburyplan.info) and in the Adderbury Library.

TAP has given us, the local people, the opportunity to exercise control over how much and where new housing should go, and how the village should change in an holistic and integrated manner building on its existing attributes. Without the Plan, Cherwell District Council would make these decisions on behalf of the people of Adderbury.

What is in The Adderbury Plan?

Although deciding where new housing should go is an important part of TAP, it is about much more than this. TAP is for the development of the community as a whole. It looks at a wide range of issues, including:

- ◆ our requirements for educational facilities
- ◆ whether new community and recreational facilities are needed and where they should go
- ◆ how best to manage our traffic
- ◆ how walking and cycling around the village should be improved
- ◆ what resources and policies are needed to sustain our businesses
- ◆ Improving mobile and internet communications
- ◆ encouraging Adderbury to become a "greener" village

This entire document forms the Neighbourhood Development Plan for Adderbury under the Localism Act for the period 2012-2031.

Once TAP has been adopted, Adderbury Parish Council will be responsible for monitoring its progress and its modification over the years to meet our community's Vision.

About Adderbury

Adderbury is a village and civil parish within the Cherwell District of northern Oxfordshire. It is about 3 miles (5 km) south of Banbury. The village is divided in two by the Sor Brook. The village consists of three neighbourhoods: Twyford, East Adderbury and West Adderbury (the latter two being divided by the Sor Brook). The boundaries of Adderbury Parish are shown in the map on the next page.

History

An ancient settlement, there are two known Roman sites within the village. First reference to the village appeared in an Anglo-Saxon will of c.990 naming it as "Eadburggebyrig" meaning "Eadburg's town". Two of the oldest surviving buildings in the village are the parish church of St Mary the Virgin with origins dating back into the 13th century and the Tithe Barn which probably dates from the 15th century.

Adderbury is situated in a prime rural and agricultural area. This led to significant agricultural expansion during the late 16th and the whole of 17th century primarily driven by the development of the local sheep trade. This also led to related trades such as weaving and plush. The Enclosure Acts saw significant restructuring of the village for agricultural efficiency. Later, during the late 19th and early 20th century, large scale surface extraction of the local iron ore occurred locally. Hornton stone, with its high iron ore content, provides the warm, honey colouring to many of the village buildings.

A strong non-conformist tradition going back to the Civil War saw a Friends Meeting House (1675), an Independent chapel (1820) and a Methodist Church (1893) built in the village. The Adderbury Quaker community was noted across England for their clock-making skills from 1736 until the mid-19th century.

Geographical links

Adderbury is situated on the main road leading south out of Banbury towards Oxford at the junction of a road leading east towards Aylesbury. The Oxford canal came to the village in 1790 allowing bulk transportation of the iron ore. In 1881 the railway arrived to serve the village and surrounding areas until its closure in 1951. Much more recently the M40 motorway linking London with Birmingham has provided more modern connections.




2011 Census


In the 2011 census the population of Adderbury was 2819 and is comprised of approximately 48% males and 52% females.


	1991	2001	2011	10 year Change
Population	2348	2496	2819	+ 13 %
Households		1013	1153	+ 14 %

The average age of people in Adderbury is 44 years of age, while the median age is 45.



89.4% of people living in Adderbury were born in England. The other top responses for country of birth were 1.6% Wales, 1.6% Scotland, 0.9% United States, 0.7% Ireland, 0.7% South Africa, 0.6% Northern Ireland, 0.5% Australia, 0.2% North Africa, 0.2% Pakistan.





98.9% of people living in Adderbury speak English. The other top languages spoken are 0.2% Spanish, 0.1% Persian/Farsi, 0.1% Polish, 0.1% German, 0.1% Czech, 0.1% Dutch, 0.1% Russian.



The religious make up of Adderbury is 70.1% Christian, 21.2% No religion, 0.5% Muslim, 0.3% Buddhist, 0.3% Sikh, 0.1% Hindu, 0.1% Jewish. 195 people did not state a religion. 15 people identified as a Jedi Knight.



59.7% of people are married, 9.9% cohabit with a member of the opposite sex, 0.6% live with a partner of the same sex, 16.0% are single and have never married or been in a registered same sex

partnership, 6.7% are separated or divorced. There are 117 widowed people living in Adderbury.

The top occupations listed by people in Adderbury are Professional 21.3%, Managers, directors and senior officials 17.3%, Associate professional and technical 14.0%, Corporate managers and directors 12.3%, Skilled trades 11.6%, Administrative and secretarial 10.5%, Business and public service associate professionals 7.8%, Administrative 7.4%, Caring, leisure and other service 6.9%, Sales and customer service 6.3%.



Population moves (TAP Survey 2013)

Living in Adderbury	% Total 1994	% Total 2004	% Total 2013
Less than a year	6	7	4
1 – 5 years	16	22	17
6 – 15 years	35	25	31
16 – 25 years	19	21	17
26 – 50 years	20	19	26
51+ years	4	5	5

The increasing numbers of incomers shown in previous surveys has fallen away with the majority of the residents well settled.



“Work, Rest and Play”

Work:

Adderbury has good transport links with a north/south motorway (M40) 20 minutes away and a rail link 15 minutes away. We have access to London and four airports within an hour. Within the village we have local skills and support services, networking, available offices and small scale premises. The community is supported by an active mixed arable and livestock farming community. We are not blighted by heavy industries nor a major retail centre. Nearby we have surgeries and dental services, vets, an A&E hospital with maternity services - all within 15 minutes

Rest:

We have a beautiful rural environment in which to live with traditional stone buildings Village green, playing fields, walks, lakes, streams, canals, rolling countryside, edge of the Cotswolds.



Accessible tourism is available at Oxford, Stratford-upon-Avon, Blenheim, Stow on the Wold, Bourton on the Water, and Moreton in the Marsh. Silverstone is within 20 minutes and recreational canals are nearby.

Some mixed housing is available together with a new day nursery and a local primary school nearing capacity.

Within Adderbury we have limited resources which we seek to maximise with a small village shop, hairdressers and three pubs. We are supported by a six- hours per week post office, a limited opening library with part-time coffee shop, and several faith communities.

Villagers have access to communal facilities at the Institute, Church House, the Lucy Plackett playing

fields and the Rise play area in Twyford.



Play

For sports we have active communities for bowls, running, tennis, squash, golf, football, netball and martial arts. Other active clubs and societies include gardening, theatricals, photography, film, history, W.I., bridge, folk, dance and bell ringing to name but a few.

For community events we have Morris dancing, annual Party in the Park music festival, concerts in the church and an annual pantomime.



Adderbury Speaks

The Adderbury Plan (TAP) is an opportunity to plan the village's future. From the viewpoint of today, what are the problems that the TAP could address? What are the opportunities TAP could make the most of? The voices of our community needed to be heard.

Consultation Process

Public meetings were held at St Mary's Church in November 2012 and at Christopher Rawlins School in February 2013 to inform villagers of the pressing need for Adderbury to produce a Neighbourhood Plan and establish a vision of what our community wanted for Adderbury over the next 20 years.

After the November meeting, a steering committee was formed to manage the process of preparing TAP. The committee, drawing on the services of a cross-section of residents, compiled a Residents' Survey to give everyone (aged 11 and over) a chance to address important aspects of village, life through the Plan, for the future.

Teams of villagers (some 40 residents) came together to work on specific topics (Housing, Environment, Transport, etc.) to devise the questions that need to be asked of the community.



The Residents' Survey was held in June 2013 and 1090 residents representing 661 (59%) households responded.

In May 2013 a Business Survey was also carried out to elicit the future needs of Adderbury businesses. 70 businesses (77%) out of the 91 identified in the village responded.



Each of the topic teams then analysed the results, and, where possible, compared them with previous Adderbury surveys (1994 and 2004) and census returns for trends. The teams then put forward their proposals to go in the Plan

On the basis of the village responses and the proposals, this Plan has been prepared in draft in August 2013 and, to invite further consultation, has been posted to the village websites with a printed copy available in Adderbury Library. Village clubs and societies have been contacted to ensure villagers were aware of the draft.

Further consultation meetings for the community will be held at St Mary's Church and at Christopher Rawlins School in mid-September 2013.

In the following months TAP will be shared with Cherwell District Council to ensure the requirements for a Neighbourhood Plan have been met by TAP and also other stakeholders will be consulted.

A final version of TAP will then be produced and the residents will be asked to vote on the final version in a referendum.

Summary Proposals

A wide range of issues have been raised by our community and considered in producing the Plan. These are grouped into proposals under seven themes discussed in detail later in this document.

The proposals will not all be the responsibility of the Parish Council and some will need to be addressed by other tiers of government, village organisations, local businesses and other groupings of the Adderbury community.

Business, Economy and Employment

- ◆ The Parish Council should support and facilitate the provision of high speed broadband in Adderbury as a priority
- ◆ The Parish Council should seek to persuade the Telcos to address the poor mobile phone coverage in the village and support proposals to site further masts within the parish boundaries (provided sensitively designed)
- ◆ Small scale, non-industrial businesses should be encouraged and supported.
- ◆ The development of small non-retail business premises and serviced offices should be encouraged on the existing business parks and on brownfield sites.
- ◆ Access to and exit from the existing business and industrial parks should be reviewed.
- ◆ For safety, footpaths and cycle ways should be provided to the business and industrial parks as a matter of urgency
- ◆ Guest houses and B&Bs should be encouraged.
- ◆ The Parish Council should encourage retail and, in principle, support the change in use of residential premises in the existing centre of the village to retail if proposed
- ◆ The Friday Club concept should be reviewed for possible expansion to encompass more of the local small businesses for networking, mentoring and the sharing of experiences

Community, Leisure & Well-being

- ◆ The Plan should actively encourage and support a new facility replacing the existing buildings in the Lucy Plackett playing field
- ◆ The majority of comments suggest that both Lucy Plackett and the Rise play areas are tired and in need of improving and updating.
- ◆ Equipment should be for a greater age range, including teenagers
- ◆ The Plan should support development of local sporting facilities to enable and further the

playing of popular sports such as golf, tennis, football and gym activities

- ◆ The Plan should also review and plan for facilities that would provide better resources to those who enjoy walking, cycling and running
- ◆ A suitable, accessible facility in the village should be sought to provide the services of:
 - a GP
 - a Community Nurse providing health checks and health promotion
 - a dentist to provide basic dental check to ascertain whether a visit to the surgery is necessary
 - a chiropodist

The above should happen on a rotating basis during each month, so that there is one service a week available

- ◆ If this cannot be achieved then there should be an Adderbury allocation of time within the surgery hours of an existing practice, where local people, in groups, can be provided transport to that 'Adderbury Surgery' slot
- ◆ A Village Good Neighbour Scheme should be implemented as soon as possible to make best use of the offers of help. It could be open to all in the village who had a need, but priority would be given to the over 65s
- ◆ Support would be needed to initiate the scheme from the County Council and thereafter grants, sponsors and fundraising for its maintenance. It would need to be run by volunteers. Links with Age UK would be advantageous
- ◆ Adderbury Parish Council should formally review in detail the 210 comments on their performance and set out and publish to the village an action plan for the future with the Council's own appraisal of how it can influence the sustainability criteria

Education

- ◆ Provide a Day Nursery in Adderbury. (A Day Nursery is to open in the village in September 2013)
- ◆ Provide structured before school and after school clubs at Christopher Rawlins School
- ◆ While there is some support for development on the land opposite the school on Banbury Road, which might also provide a possible school relocation area, the clear majority view is to expand the school on its existing site
- ◆ As some 125 people have shown an interest in language classes it should be worth the effort of developing the facility

addressing the differing requirements of their occupants

Information & Communications

- ◆ All households should have 10+ Mb/s
- ◆ Submit the information to Oxfordshire County Council to assist their programme to get high-speed broadband to Adderbury by 2015, with the Parish Council in control
- ◆ Parish Council to advise Telcos and push for better service – more masts (with sensitive design and location)?
- ◆ Parish Council to advise villagers on where/how to get better service
- ◆ Encourage all village organisations to embrace social media in all their communications
- ◆ Village Website team to come up with a new plan for the future to drive traffic to the site (this is in hand)
- ◆ Now that the Library appears safe for the foreseeable future, it should focus as much on driving usage as on fund-raising and volunteering.
- ◆ More should be done to encourage computer usage and provide opportunities to learn computer skills.

Housing

- ◆ Zone A (Banbury Road west) is the most sustainable site for the development of new homes
- ◆ Zone E (Aynho Road north) is the second most sustainable location
- ◆ No more than 50 new homes be built over the next 20 years
- ◆ Additional homes be delivered, so far as is possible, through infilling, and on brown field sites with no single site exceeding five units so that the expansion of the village is on a small scale through piece-meal development
- ◆ A mix of housing types be delivered with provision across the spectrum from starter to family homes as well as affordable and age restricted homes
- ◆ Any development be located towards the centre of the village to support the centrally located services and to enable accessibility to those services on foot. This will ensure that the occupiers of new homes have a greater sense of community rather than isolation in 'remote' locations around the periphery
- ◆ Any new homes to display high standards of design and construction which are in harmony with the architectural quality of the historic core as well as being modern, efficient buildings



Transport

- ◆ Construct dedicated cycle paths within the village and to local facilities
- ◆ Designate dedicated cycle lanes on local main roads entering Adderbury
- ◆ Work with www.sustrans.org.uk to develop a biking plan
- ◆ New footpaths along Berry Hill Road, between Horn Hill and Oxford Roads
- ◆ New Pedestrian Crossing point on Berry Hill Road at the junction of St Mary's Road
- ◆ New footpaths along Aynho Road from the Hospice to Banbury Business Park
- ◆ Installation of "Village Only" traffic signs at the junctions of Banbury Road / High Street & Milton Road / Horn Hill Road, to limit volumes through the village
- ◆ Introduction of Variable Speed Indicators (VSI) on access points to the village (Oxford Road, Milton Road and Aynho Roads) to reduce incoming vehicle speed
- ◆ Installation of a Speed Camera on the Banbury Road approximately at the junction of Banbury Road & Griffin Close, to enforce speed limits entering the village
- ◆ Road narrowing "pinch points" on Water Lane and Horn Hill Road to reduce traffic speeds and volumes through the village
- ◆ Remodelling of the Banbury / Twyford Road junction through the introduction of traffic lights to control access to the Twyford Estate and reduce vehicle speed entering Adderbury
- ◆ Introduction of a 20 mph speed limit on all Village Roads including High Street / Horn Hill Road
- ◆ Remodelling of the Aynho / Banbury Road junction to reduce traffic delays and improve pedestrian access / safety to the school

Introduction of village gates on Milton & Aynho Roads access point to the Village

- ◆ Monitor traffic flows in the future tracking the development on the southern side of Banbury

◆



Environment

- ◆ The recognised importance of the use of Horton Stone be included in the Plan with a policy formulated to achieve the use of this stone in new developments where appropriate
- ◆ Full support be given to the continued activities of the many societies and organisations in the village
- ◆ The continued protection and monitoring of walls and hedges (trees) under the existing Conservation Area legislation be included in the Plan
- ◆ The following be incorporated into suitably worded policies in the Plan:
 - Developments be sympathetic to existing built vernacular style and location of the site.
 - Developments be designed to provide off road parking for residents of the development
 - The height of new residential buildings be no greater than two storeys
 - That all new development be constructed using local Hornton stone where that is characteristic of Adderbury
 - All new houses in a proposed residential development be provided with a private and viable garden space
- ◆ That all fence heights be limited to 1.50m.
- ◆ In conjunction with the recommendations flowing from the housing part of this Plan, protect those identified, sensitive, visually high value and less sustainable areas of countryside from development
- ◆ Those areas identified as potential development sites, being of lower quality landscape value, be the subject of policies, such as, use of Hornton stone, maximum two storey houses, landscape zones on new boundaries, etc.
- ◆ The owners/trustees/management committees of the Greens, designated open spaces and the Lucy Plackett Playing Field be informed of the value placed on these areas by residents of the village to ensure that none become available for development and to ensure use and enjoyment by future generations
- ◆ The requirement for woodland and biodiversity zones in developer's housing layouts at the new countryside edge of residential developments of Greenfield sites be included in a suitably worded policy in the Neighbourhood Plan
- ◆ Important vistas/views are to be protected from the intrusion of new development by a suitably worded policy in the Neighbourhood Plan
- ◆ The Management Committee of the Adderbury Lakes Local Nature Reserve be given the full support of the community and Parish Council to undertake the planned restoration works identified in the Management Plan
- ◆ Adderbury Parish Council and the Oxfordshire County Council, as the responsible authority, set up a working group to monitor and identify problems with the Adderbury Parish footpaths to ensure that sign posting and maintenance works are carried out to enhance the viability and attraction of the footpath network in the area
- ◆ No extension to the present boundaries of the Adderbury Conservation Area should be sought
- ◆ Report findings on services and utilities to relevant authorities via Parish council to seek means of improving performance
- ◆ Although we have kept our post office, the limited opening hours do restrict support. There were a number of suggestions to merge the post office with the village stores to mutual benefit and this should be investigated and encouraged
- ◆ Although the concern over pet waste and general litter was not borne out in any increased call for improvement in waste bin provision, there should be a plan to reduce the 'poor' rating of 24% - if only to educate the public!
- ◆ New housing developments should adopt all or some of the low carbon energy measures in addition to meeting the statutory sustainability codes as laid down by central government

Adderbury's Vision

To continue as a thriving, modern, viable and sustainable village for “work rest and play”

Banbury is accessible but we are not a suburb!

To complete the Survey a number of general open questions were asked related to the Vision:

What is your future vision for Adderbury?

The 436 responses received to this question are best summarised by these statements:

- ◆ 22% want a vibrant village retaining its rural character, but still modern, viable and sustainable
- ◆ 21% propose some small sensitive sustainable development within current boundaries – do not let us become a suburb of Banbury
- ◆ 20% want the village to stay as it is with no changes
- ◆ 17% seek a thriving village community with sustainable services and enterprise
- ◆ 9% recognise the need for more small businesses providing better facilities and local employment
- ◆ 7% see the importance of continued growth in young families who want to be part of the village community, with provision of suitable housing to support them
- ◆ 2% want the development of a community centre/hub possibly encompassing school and shops west of the Banbury Road at Twyford

If you could change one aspect of the village what would it be?

Of the 410 responses received to this question:

- ◆ 15% feel the need to sort out the problem of on-street parking through the village
- ◆ 14% seek a better shop than today, eg a Co-op, deli/shop/post office merged; possibly built on main road as part of development; possibly retail outlets in Twyford Mill
- ◆ 14% want to restrict through traffic
- ◆ 13% see the need for a new community centre - possibly on main road as part of development
- ◆ 8% want careful development in-keeping with surroundings, with some affordable housing
- ◆ 7% want better control of speeding traffic

- ◆ 5% want no change believing Adderbury is fine as it is

Which aspect of the village is the most important to retain?

Of the 562 responses received to this question:

- ◆ 23% want stay as a village, retaining current boundaries / conservation area and ensuring a clear buffer from Bodicote, Banbury and elsewhere
- ◆ 15% feel the community spirit (sense of community, belonging, etc) is key
- ◆ 9% want to maintain the village identity of local stone architecture, historic buildings
- ◆ 9% see the benefit of the village green and other green spaces
- ◆ 5% want to retain the village character
- ◆ 5% recognise the rural community



Any significant improvements to the village infrastructure that you might have in your vision will need to be paid for. Please indicate which of these possible funding methods you would support:

Funding for Improvements	Number	%
Allow a number of 25 plus new house developments to be built so that we can get developers' funding (section 106 / CIL)	280	33%
Apply for grants and build up funds through money generating activities	443	53%
Apply for long term loans which will have to be repaid by raising the precept (council tax) that each household will have to pay	113	14%

With what has happened over the past twenty years affecting Adderbury what can we expect for the future:

Last 20 Years	Next 20 Years?
Political	
Move of library and reduction in opening hours	Library services further threatened - increased requirement for funding and support
Banbury growth increased traffic in village	Growth of Banbury reduces green belt, urbanises nearby villages and increases traffic
Second motorway junction does not happen	New Banbury development and junction north of Adderbury increases village traffic – future intersection contemplated
Bloxham growth increases traffic through village	Impact of hs2 Rail
Localism Act increases responsibilities of Parish	Parish Council will need to recruit and retain active members for increased responsibilities
Simplification and relaxation of planning rules	Threat to independent existence of village
Reduction in government spending	Increase in fund-raising from other sources
Economic	
Closing of 2 village pubs	With 6 licenced premises in the village it is likely that others will close
Home delivery of goods	Use of delivery services increases
Growth in people working from home	Trend increases
Car ownership increases traffic in Adderbury	Alternatives to car ownership developed
Banbury Business Park developed	Further expansion
Station yard becomes commercial park	Expansion continues
Internet and home shopping	Trend continues
Opening of M40	Potential future intersection near Adderbury needs to be contemplated
Sainsburys comes to south Banbury	Competition south of Banbury constrained
Closure of 3 village shops	A community store / hub
New housing developments c150 + homes	Development constrained by village Plan
Community	
Loss of village cricket square	Lucy Plackett playing fields cherished – pressure for improvements and for other sports facilities increases
School increased by 2 classrooms	Future housing means more expansion and might mean relocation of the school
New golf club created in village	Competition with neighbouring clubs - more diversity of service
Population aged	In line with national trend – more retired people
Increased use of canal for leisure	Increased use continues
The Lakes purchased for the village	Increased use
Play areas created in the Rise and Lucy Plackett	Play areas modernised and improved
Regular music events in Adderbury)
Church House redeveloped) > New and revamped venues for expanding community activity
St Mary's Church first used for social events)
Increased leisure spend and time of retirees	Increase in retirement age may reduce increase in leisure time
Friday Club started for home workers	Networking, mentoring, meeting points become the norm
Focus on fitness, sport and cycling increased	This focus will continue and we need to improve cycling facilities and walking – link to neighbouring communities
Technology	
Advent of broadband and wireless)
Mass availability of mobile phones)
Home entertainment) > Ubiquitous integration and improved technology
Personal computing)
Social Media and the Adderbury websites	Greater use increasing community cohesiveness
Environmental	
Increase in price of petrol	Trend continues + greater use of green technologies
Global warming)
Increased risk of flooding) > Increasing uncertainty with impacts on everyday life
Changing weather patterns)
Radon awareness	Awareness increases and more solutions developed
Increase awareness of the need for sustainability	Awareness and consequent action becomes the norm
Increased food prices	Pressure on land to provide local reasonably priced food
Increased recycling	Use a recycling scheme to raise funds for village amenities

The Objectives

From the Vision, the responses above, and the proposals drawn up from the Survey by the teams of villagers, the following Objectives were derived:

- ◆ **Protect and enhance the character and vitality of Adderbury**
- ◆ **Restrict new development to within current boundaries, and minimise the impact of new development on the village, the surrounding countryside, landscape and ecosystems**
- ◆ **Provide existing and future Adderbury residents with the opportunity to live in suitable homes**
- ◆ **Ensure Adderbury has and will continue to have the appropriate resources and services to support the size of village**
- ◆ **Encourage local employment and small-scale local businesses**
- ◆ **Protect our environment and support eco-friendly developments**
- ◆ **Control road traffic and reduce the need to drive by car around the village and to and from Adderbury**
- ◆ **Strengthen the leisure amenities available to villagers within Adderbury**



From these Objectives the Policies that follow were derived. The Policies also draw more directly upon the Survey proposals.



The Parish Council can only give effect to those Policies within its authority but it should also to seek to influence on behalf of the village (to the best of its ability) those other tiers of government and relevant stakeholders as necessary to achieve the best outcome in line with the Vision.

It shall also be an on-going obligation of the Parish Council to regularly monitor, and make an annual report to the village on, performance against the Objectives and the Plan; and to take such steps as might be necessary from time to time to ensure that the Vision of the village is being met.

The Policies

Objectives	Policies
Protect and enhance the character and vitality of Adderbury	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Ensure developments are sympathetic to existing built vernacular style and location of the site ◆ Develop detailed policies on those areas identified as potential development sites in the Plan, including use of Hornton stone, maximum height of two storeys, off-road parking, viable garden space and landscape zones on new boundaries ◆ Ensure that no more than 50 new homes be built over the next 20 years;
Restrict development to within current boundaries and minimise the impact of new development on the village, the surrounding countryside, landscape and ecosystems	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Ensure that, so far as is possible, the additional homes be delivered through infilling or on brown field sites with no single site exceeding five units so that the expansion of the village is on a small scale through piece-meal development; ◆ Protect those identified, sensitive, visually high value and less sustainable areas of countryside from development ◆ Require woodland and biodiversity zones in developer's housing layouts at the new countryside edge of residential developments on greenfield sites ◆ Protect Important vistas/views from the intrusion of new development
Provide existing and future Adderbury residents with the opportunity to live in suitable homes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Ensure that a mix of housing types is delivered with provision across the spectrum from starter to family homes as well as the provision of affordable and age restricted homes; ◆ Ensure that any development is located towards the centre of the village to support the centrally located services and to enable accessibility to those services on foot ◆ Ensure that any new homes display high standards of design and construction in harmony with the architectural quality of the historic core as well as being modern, efficient buildings which will function well for the various residents' needs
Ensure Adderbury has and will continue to have the appropriate resources and services to support the size of village	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Formally review the performance of Adderbury Parish Council and set out and publish to the village an action plan for the future with the Council's own appraisal of how it can influence the sustainability criteria ◆ Plan for the expansion of Christopher Rawlins School to accommodate additional pupils ◆ Review and support the strengthening of the provision of health services within the village ◆ Support and facilitate the provision of high speed broadband in Adderbury as a priority ◆ Engage with the Telcos to address the poor mobile phone coverage in the village and support proposals to site further masts within the parish (provided sensitively designed)

Encourage local employment and small-scale local businesses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Encourage and support small scale, non-industrial businesses ◆ Encourage and support the development of small business premises and serviced offices on the existing business parks and on brownfield sites ◆ Encourage and support guest houses and B&Bs ◆ Encourage retail and, in principle, support the change in use of residential premises in the existing centre of the village to retail if proposed
Protect our environment and support eco-friendly developments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Support the Management Committee of the Adderbury Lakes Local Nature Reserve in restoring and maintaining the Reserve ◆ Require all new housing developments to adopt all or some of the low carbon energy measures <u>in addition</u> to meeting the statutory sustainability codes as laid down by central government
Control road traffic and reduce the need to drive by car around the village and to and from Adderbury	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Develop a working group and biking plan with the appropriate authorities for the construction of dedicated cycle paths and lanes through the village, to the business and industrial parks, and to nearby communities ◆ Develop a working group and footpath plan with the appropriate authorities for the construction of more footpaths to link disparate parts of the village and to the business and industrial parks with pedestrian crossing points where appropriate ◆ Develop a working group and plan with the appropriate authorities for the introduction of traffic controlling and calming measures and also for parking across the village ◆ Develop a working group and plan with the appropriate authorities for the improvement of access to and from the business and industrial parks ◆ Monitor traffic flows to track the effect on Adderbury of the proposed development of the south side of Banbury/Bodicote to determine future traffic controlling and calming measures
Strengthen the leisure amenities available to villagers within Adderbury	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Support development of local sporting facilities to enable and further the playing of popular sports such as golf, tennis, football and gym activities ◆ Support the continued activities of the many societies and organisations in the village ◆ Support a new facility replacing the existing buildings in the Lucy Plackett playing field and update the play areas ◆ Develop plan to increase usage of the Library as a village resource and to provide adult learning facilities (languages, computer training)

Business, Economy and Employment


Adderbury is generally an affluent village and the majority of its businesses have been developed by people drawn to live here and stay. There is no heavy industry and limited manufacturing or agriculture with activity being primarily focused on a very broad service sector.




Adderbury Business

As well as the Residents Survey in June 2013, a Business Survey was carried out in May 2013 to elicit the future needs of Adderbury businesses. 70 businesses (77%) out of the 91 identified in the village responded. Businesses range in size from sole traders through farmers to larger businesses like Ball Colgrave, Fired Earth and Trade Secret. Since the 2004 Survey the number of businesses in Adderbury has increased by a healthy 14%.

Our businesses with more than five employees tend to be based either in the Banbury Business Park (off the Aynho Road) or in the two business parks centred on Twyford Mill. 34% of concerns are sole traders:



Only 37% of our businesses draw the majority of their staff from Adderbury and these tend to be the smaller businesses (under 5 employees):



Only 15 businesses expect to increase their headcount over the next 20 years (and not necessarily from Adderbury). This suggests future increases in employment will be dependent on new business start-ups or re-location to the village.

No matter the age of Adderbury businesses, the majority (63%) serve markets away from Adderbury and even Banbury – with 9 businesses being UK exporters of goods and services:

Age of Business	% of Business by Market			
	Adderbury	Banbury and surrounds	Rest Of UK	Rest of world
0 - 5 yrs	25	31	42	2
6 - 10yrs	17	30	46	7
11 years +	12	31	41	17
Total No of Businesses	18	31	44	9


This also seems to suggest that as businesses mature, less of their business comes from the immediate vicinity. Smaller newer businesses may tend to rely on the local community more whilst established businesses have broader geographical horizons.

The survey results indicate that we have a good number of recent start-ups and some more well-established businesses.

This may be a reflection of the national economic situation (eg individuals setting up their own businesses following redundancy or retirement), but may also show that sole traders have moved to the area to live and work:

How long has your business operated from Adderbury

■ 2 years or less ■ 3-5 years ■ 6-10 years ■ 11-15 years ■ 16+ years



An open question on what caused your business to be based in Adderbury elicited the common response (as might be expected with the high number of sole traders living and working from home) that it was their home that caused them to start their business in Adderbury.

Continuing to make Adderbury an attractive place to live will also encourage a number of business start-ups but they will do little to improve the sustainability of the local community unless there is more inter Adderbury trading. (see below) However a significant number mentioned premises. This would suggest if more of the right type were available Adderbury could attract more businesses.

While 36% of our businesses do not use the services of any other local provider, another 36% did make use of communications/IT providers; 16% use our creative skills (publishing, design, web development, PR); and 15% make use of our hospitality venues and of our building trades.



Our businesses do not see planning as a constraint on their future development and did not feel the need to protect existing business/retail use. However they do see low speed broadband and poor mobile communications within the village (from all providers), as a significant problem today and going forward. They also rank them as of the highest importance to their operations.

Few of the existing businesses think they themselves would require much more in the way of additional work space over the next few years other than some would require a limited amount (less than 50m²) of more office space.

Almost 50% of respondents feel home working should be encouraged but again cited broadband and poor mobile reception as the main limitations.

When looking at the business sectors to encourage in Adderbury the majority show support for more shops, pubs restaurants, cafes, tourism and leisure activities. Creative industries, social enterprises and community services are next.

Future developments are supported on existing premises or brownfield sites with the Twyford Mill area and the Banbury Business Park being cited frequently.



Asked what would encourage businesses to locate the common view is:

- ◆ **Location:** access to large towns, easy commute, great nodal point, centre of the country, good well-maintained facilities, good industrial estate, good parking (in the business and industrial parks), beautiful village
- ◆ **People:** skilled workforce close by, pro-active villagers, good community spirit

The negatives are more varied and related to:

- ◆ the lack of shops and other facilities in the village (and in the business and industrial parks) for staff
- ◆ the poor parking in the centre of the village
- ◆ short opening hours of the post office
- ◆ inflexible business rates and local rents
- ◆ lack of safe cycle routes to the village and, more particularly, to the business and industrial parks
- ◆ day nursery facilities (now being addressed)
- ◆ poor access/exit to the business and industrial estates (likely to get worse with traffic pressure from the new residential developments south of Banbury).

- ◆ lack of variety/choice of premises to suit SMEs
- ◆ time taken to get to/from the M40
- ◆ “cliquey” village
- ◆ postal deliveries late in the day
- ◆ again, the poor mobile and broadband coverage

A common theme is that Adderbury is probably best suited to knowledge-based, research and smaller high tech industries and that business should be “actively encouraged in the village so that we don't become a dormitory/retired folk's location”. However such businesses should be small and integrated within the village as it currently exists.

Many of the smaller businesses are keen to have networking, mentoring, meeting capabilities in the village. Friday Club, Contact magazine, and www.adderbury.org were all considered useful for raising awareness of businesses in the area but:

“We all need to work together more. Friday Club is a start but, especially for small businesses, there is a lot to learn from info sharing.”

Friday Club is considered “OK” but its timing (lunchtime rather than evening) and location (food & drink and pub atmosphere) are off-putting for a few. There is also considered to be a lack of mentoring opportunities.

The Residents' View of Business

In the Resident's Survey, villagers strongly support the encouragement of small businesses in Adderbury, particularly from the creative sector (85%), with restaurants being close behind.

82% support guest houses and B&Bs but the demand for hotels is much more muted with only 40% in favour (similar percentages to the 2004 Survey).

The least desired businesses are permanent holiday caravan parks (89% against) and businesses employing more than 100 staff (82% against).

When looking at where to site new businesses the majority agree with the business community on locating them to the Twyford Mill area and the Banbury Business Park.

On the retail side, 53% of villagers feel Adderbury should plan for a natural hub for shopping and other community services with 28% against. The majority of respondents feel the hub should encompass the Green and the High Street much as at present. Of the types of retail outlet 30% want a general shop with a

butcher (17%) Co-op (10%) and delicatessen (8%) being the next highest support.


When asked which shops they support and on what frequency - the village shop, followed by pubs and restaurants receive the most visits on a weekly basis:

Retail	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less	Never
Village shop	91	346	217	289	94
Hairdressers	2	13	193	172	587
Pubs / Restaurants	19	223	348	313	92
Garage	1	3	41	389	529
Vet	1	0	17	113	809
Post Office	7	54	150	314	449
Retail outlets at Twyford Mill	2	4	41	530	380
Pig farm shop	1	4	36	147	751




Adderbury Employment

Of those completing the Residents' Survey, 47% describe themselves as being in employment - over a quarter of whom are self-employed. This compares with 54% in employment in the 2004 Survey (the proportion of self-employed remained the same). Less than 1% describe themselves as unemployed in either survey. The number retired appears to have risen from 27% to 40% in ten years. However a greater proportion of retired people completed the 2013 Survey than previously, a factor that distorts the numbers though it is still a significant increase.



64% work or study within 10 miles of Adderbury - slightly down on the 2004 Survey (69%)



Proposals:

- ◆ The Parish Council should support and facilitate the provision of high speed broadband in Adderbury as a priority
- ◆ The Parish Council should seek to persuade the Telcos to address the poor mobile phone coverage in the village and support proposals to site further masts within the parish boundaries (provided sensitively designed)
- ◆ Small scale, non-industrial businesses should be encouraged and supported
- ◆ The development of small non-retail business premises and serviced offices should be encouraged on in the existing business parks and on brownfield sites
- ◆ Access to and exit from the existing business and industrial parks should be reviewed
- ◆ For safety, footpaths and cycle ways should be provided to the business and industrial parks as a matter of urgency
- ◆ Guest houses and B&Bs should be encouraged
- ◆ The Parish Council should encourage retail and, in principle, support the change in use of residential premises in the existing centre of the village to retail if proposed
- ◆ The Friday Club concept should be reviewed for possible expansion to encompass more of the local small businesses for networking, mentoring and the sharing of experiences

Community, Leisure and Well-Being



Community venues

The Survey shows clearly that since 2004 usage of the main village meeting facilities has increased significantly:

Used at least monthly (compared to 2004) :

- ◆ Church House 19% (9%)
 - ◆ Institute 15% (5%)
 - ◆ Lucy Plackett Activity Centre 11% (4%)
- However other community facilities are much less utilised:

- ◆ Christopher Rawlins School 4%
- ◆ Methodist Hall 7%
- ◆ Tennis Club 3%
- ◆ Bowls Club 4%

Apart from an increase in village activities, one reason could be the sustained improvement in the standard of facilities offered by these venues.

The 'poor' rating decreased considerably (compared to 2004):

- ◆ Institute 11% (24%)
- ◆ Methodist Hall 10% (19%)
- ◆ Church House (consistently the lowest poor rating with 2%)

However some locations remain of an unsatisfactory standard with higher 'poor' ratings (compared to 2004):

- ◆ Lucy Plackett 38% (36%)
- ◆ Sports & Social Club 43% (38%)

How should the village enhance these facilities?

	Total	%	Twyford	East Add'y	West Add'y
By improving existing meeting places	373	38	32	40	41
By developing a purpose built community centre	355	36	45	34	39
No change at all	263	26	23	26	30

While there is a small preference overall for further improving what we've got, the views vary significantly by area, with Twyford preferring a purpose built centre, and West Adderbury more status quo.

When asked where such a new facility might be built there is a more clear-cut vote for the Lucy Plackett site:

Where do you think a purpose built community centre should be sited?	Total %
Lucy Plackett	45
Banbury Road	19
Milton Road	15
Croft Lane	5
Opposite The Plough	4
At the School	3
Tennis club	2
Industrial estate	2



The divided vote for whether or not we should have a purpose built community centre might reflect the improvement made in existing venues, with their opportunity for more development, and other venues like the school could drive greater overall usage.

However, the Sports & Social Club and Lucy Plackett Activity Centre are deemed unsatisfactory; the largest area vote (152 people from Twyford) is in favour of a new facility; and the preferred site for a new facility would clearly be Lucy Plackett.

Proposal:

The Plan should actively encourage and support a new facility replacing the existing buildings in the Lucy Plackett playing field.

Children's Play Facilities



The Survey shows that the Lucy Plackett play area is considered less than good by 39% of respondents and the Rise by 67%.

Proposals:

- ◆ **The majority of comments suggest that both Lucy Plackett and the Rise play areas are tired and in need of updating. Steeple**

Aston is held up as a very good example to which the village should aspire.

- ◆ **Equipment should be for a greater age range, including teenagers**

Community Activities

Participation in all sporting activity with the exception of walking has dropped significantly since 2004 – but this could be because of the lower returns for the Survey than previously and also the greater number of retired villagers.

Residents use facilities outside of Adderbury such as:

- ◆ gyms 30%
- ◆ swimming 15%
- ◆ golf 13%

but there is no dramatic call for more activities to be made available within the village: only 25% of respondents answered the question in the Survey, and the biggest demands are for:

- ◆ swimming (44 people)
- ◆ yoga (19)
- ◆ badminton (19)

A few comments on future vision for the village called for the cricket field to return, but only 15 people said they would participate.

Proposal:

- ◆ **The Plan should support development of local sporting facilities to enable and further the playing of popular sports such as golf, tennis ,football and gym activities.**
- ◆ **The Plan should also review and plan for facilities that would provide better resources to those who enjoy walking, cycling and running.**



Faith

According to the 2011 Census, the religious make up of Adderbury is 70.1% Christian, 21.2% No religion, 0.5% Muslim, 0.3% Buddhist, 0.3% Sikh, 0.1% Hindu, 0.1% Jewish. 195 people did not state a religion. 15 people identified themselves as a Jedi Knight.

In the 2013 Survey, 12% of all respondents claim to attend services at St Mary's Church (CoE) at least monthly (versus 11% in the 2004 Survey) and 23% attended places of worship outside of Adderbury (versus 27% in 2004) - a marginal improvement in support.

Church of England



There is a small decline in attendance at our other places of worship. However 37% of respondents thought Sunday worship is important (vs39% in 2004) but this was outweighed by the importance of other uses made of our places of worship:

51% have been to local churches for baptisms, weddings or funerals.

The St Mary's Church has broadened its usage through non-religious events and is an important village facility - 40% have attended for music events and 43% for social events.

Methodist Chapel



Catholic Church



Friends Meeting House



We are fortunate in our community to have such a spread of churches and the challenge of how many villagers view these as important and the simple economics of maintaining them as viable is a great credit to those regular worshippers who keep them going

Health & Wellbeing

Although the 2011 census shows that the population of Adderbury has risen from 2348 in 1991 to 2819, a growth of 20%, during that same period the village has lost both its doctor and its dentist. Villagers have to travel out of the village for their health care needs, wasting time, energy and creating more traffic and pollution.

However Adderbury has gained a major ambulance station and the charity, Katherine's House Hospice (1991) for palliative care.



The Horton General Hospital in Banbury continues to be a key local resource for Accident & Emergency, maternity and acute medical services.

The nearest chemists are at Bloxham and also in Sainsburys on the south side of Banbury.

54% of the people completing the Survey said that they would use the services of a GP if they were available in the village. As well as GP services, 36% supported the provision of health clinics, 36% supported dentistry and 22% chiropody.

If we want to maintain or improve the general health and wellbeing of the population of the village we need to provide high quality health services that are easily accessible to all age groups.

By bringing the care to the village or by taking groups of people to a surgery slot, we can encourage and support people's use of health care, increase wellbeing decrease traffic volumes.

If healthcare is not brought into the village then the last resort proposal is that the Good Neighbour Scheme is set up and used to identify those in the village that require transport out to health care providers. This solution is poor for sustainability.

Proposals:

- ♦ **A suitable, accessible facility in the village should be sought to provide the services of:**
 - ♦ **a GP**
 - ♦ **a Community Nurse providing health checks and health promotion**
 - ♦ **a dentist to provide basic dental check to ascertain whether a visit to the surgery is necessary**
 - ♦ **a chiropodist**

The above should happen on a rotating basis during each month, so that there is one service a week available.

- ♦ **If this cannot be achieved then there should be an Adderbury allocation of time within the surgery hours of an existing practice, where local people, in groups, can be provided transport to that 'Adderbury Surgery' slot.**



Helping the Older Population

With the aging population of the village, the need for health provision and social help becomes more pressing.

In the Survey 30 people say they have difficulty leaving the house for health reasons. However, on a positive note demonstrating the community spirit in Adderbury, over 60 people put their names forward as volunteers to help.

In July 2013 Age UK published a report, looking at *social isolation of the elderly in Britain*. It found that 1.2 million over the age of 50 are isolated. Almost 600,000 older people only leave their house once a week or less. This report will put pressure on government to take its findings into account when determining rural policies. The closure of village shops, post offices and libraries and also the cutting of bus routes have a considerable effect on the elderly. Looking at help in the home, the number of people aged over 65 who need help with basic hygiene is expected to increase by 70% in the next 16 years!

Fortunately, at present the numbers of compromised older residents in Adderbury are not a burden but, with the above statistics and an ageing population over the next twenty years, we cannot be complacent.



When those who are housebound were asked for their views on what would be of benefit to them, between 22 and 29 villagers request:

- ◆ Help with shopping
- ◆ Occasional visits and chats
- ◆ Occasional transport
- ◆ Help from an Age UK adviser
- ◆ Computer lessons

3 seek help with reading, 13 want “meals on wheels” and 15 the Lunch Club (already up and running).

Some 20 communities in Oxfordshire already run “Good Neighbour Schemes” to use the services of local volunteers. They are mostly backed by council funding.


Such a Scheme in Adderbury will require serious planning, for long term viability, but if done well, it would pull together those needing help and those willing to give it, be a sustainable resource, easily updateable for the future. It will encourage a strong community spirit, in line with the government’s Big Society, and true to the spirit of Adderbury.



Proposals:

- ◆ **A Village Good Neighbour Scheme should be implemented as soon as possible to make best use of the offers of help. It could be open to all in the village who had a need, but priority would be given to the over 65s**
- ◆ **Support would be needed to initiate the scheme from the County Council and thereafter grants, sponsors and fundraising for its maintenance. It would need to be run by volunteers. Links with Age UK would be advantageous.**

Local Government



In the Survey, residents were asked how well does the Adderbury Parish Council act on behalf of the village on major issues with Cherwell District and Oxfordshire County Councils and other organisations.

Of the 803 people who expressed a view there was a small improvement in the result (compared with the 2004 Survey):

	% 2004	% 2013
Acts well	27	29
Acts reasonably well	61	63
Acts poorly	12	8

An open question on what more do you believe the Parish Council could do to the benefit of the village elicited 210 individual answers.

There are 100 comments about the Parish Council itself, broken down as follows:

- ◆ 27 want more listening to villagers' views; the encouraging of interactive dialogue; having a consultation process; and allowing for youth representation or views.
- ◆ 18 express the need for better public relations; more effective communication of the Parish Council's accountability and what it achieves; keeping the village informed; and addressing how to encourage more people to come on to the Council
- ◆ 14 want the Parish Council to be more in touch with the village, more approachable, more visible, with the Councillors themselves making themselves better known.
- ◆ 13 want the Parish Council to be more open and transparent.
- ◆ 11 want the Parish Council to be stronger, more robust and exert more pressure on Cherwell District Council, Oxford County Council, utilities and other bodies whose decisions affect the village

- ◆ 10 question the make-up and structure of the Parish Council; the level of contribution of certain individuals; and possible self-interest.



110 residents make more generic comments around the usual issues on which they feel the Parish Council should have a stronger influence:

- ◆ 43 cite addressing traffic speed, parking, and general road condition
- ◆ 27 want better control over housing development
- ◆ 25 seek better maintenance of the village, tidiness, condition of footpaths and play areas
- ◆ 8 want a new village hall
- ◆ 7 seek better youth facilities and a youth voice on the Parish Council

Proposal:

- ◆ **Adderbury Parish Council should formally review in detail the 210 comments on their performance and set out and publish to the village an action plan for the future with the Council's own appraisal of how it can influence the sustainability criteria.**



Education

Of households responding to the Survey 17% (112) have children of primary age or below, accounting for some 15% of the population, an apparent increase from 12% in 2004.

Childcare

Of those with children of primary age or below, 44% (49 respondents) were using childcare (half relying on family or friends). However 21 (43%) are having to use day nursery facilities outside of the village

When asked how childcare could be changed to meet their needs:

- ◆ 40% of respondents request a day nursery
- ◆ 64% request “wrap-around” childcare at Christopher Rawlins School

Proposals:

- ◆ **Provide a Day Nursery in Adderbury. (A Day Nursery is to open in September 2013)**



- ◆ **Provide structured before school and after school clubs at Christopher Rawlins School**

Christopher Rawlins School

79% of respondents with pre-primary age children intend to send them to the village school, which should be able to accommodate them.

There is a consistent view that priority at the School be given to accommodating village children. However there have been some problems recently with children moving into the village and unable to be accommodated because of the current schooling limit of 30 pupils per class

Obviously any sizeable housing development would put pressure on numbers, and so residents responded to a question on what should happen if the demand for places at the School were to exceed its capacity in the future:



	%
Expand School on existing site	63
Relocate school to larger site within village	28
Bus excess children to other local schools	3
Merge school with another that has more room	2

Proposal:

- ◆ While there is some support for development on the land opposite the school on Banbury Road, which might also provide a possible school relocation area, the clear majority view is to expand the school on its existing site.

Adult Education

The Survey asked which Adult Education classes would villagers would attend if offered in Adderbury. 458 residents responded with the most popular requests being for:

- ◆ Languages - 27%,
- ◆ Art - 9%,
- ◆ History - 7%

Proposal:

- ◆ **As some 125 people have shown an interest in language classes it should be worth the effort of developing the facility**



Information & Communications

Internet

The Survey found that 85% of households have internet access today against 81% in 2004 (when only 21% had access via broadband (vs. dial-up) as against an estimated 100% now).

However 15% of respondents to the Survey do not have internet access. Even so only 1% admit to using the computers in the library and only 26 people ask for help with computers.



Broadband

When asked 'what better communications should we plan for in future?' – 75% of residents seek with 'faster broadband' – and local businesses responding to the Business Survey say broadband speed is a significant problem for them and also for attracting new small businesses to Adderbury.

For those that are able to give their broadband speed the outcome is less dramatic, although there is a clear skew between East and West Adderbury – probably due to distance from the Adderbury telephone exchange.

	TOTAL %	Twyf'd	East Add'y	West Add'y
10+ Mb/s	39	43	68	14
6-9 Mb/s	45	39	32	54
less than 6 Mb/s	16	18	0	32

In August 2013 Oxfordshire County Council reached agreement with BT on providing fast broadband (defined as up to 24Mb/s) to in excess of 90% of residents of the County by the end of 2015 while meeting the minimum 2 Mb/s coverage for 100% of homes and businesses in Oxfordshire.

Proposals:

- ♦ All households should have 10+ Mb/s
- ♦ Submit the information to Oxfordshire County Council to assist their programme to get high-speed broadband to Adderbury by 2015, with the Parish Council in control.

Telephony

31% of respondents now use mobiles as their main telephone at home. However signal strength remains the issue, with only 15% claiming excellent reception and 20% poor reception.

O2 is a poor performer in West Adderbury, and Vodafone in East Adderbury

% scores	Excellent	Good	Reasonable	Poor
Vodafone	17	42	24	17
Twyford	22	46	24	10
East Adderbury	13	35	26	26
West Adderbury	16	42	24	19
O2	17	33	22	28
Twyford	28	36	27	9
East Adderbury	17	33	18	31
West Adderbury	5	31	20	44
EE	15	42	26	17
Twyford	17	52	22	9
East Adderbury	14	41	20	24
West Adderbury	13	30	37	20
TOTAL	15	35	30	20

Proposals:

- ◆ Parish Council to advise Telcos of these findings and to push for better service – more masts (with sensitive design and location)?
- ◆ Parish Council to advise villagers on where/how to get better service.

Social Media

45% of respondents now claim to use Facebook and/or Twitter.

Proposal:

- ◆ Encourage all village organisations to embrace social media in all their communications

Village Website

From the Survey it is clear that there is still a low level of usage of www.adderbury.org by residents with only 15% claiming to be regular visitors and 45% occasional visitors. Most worryingly, 31% of respondents have never visited the site and 9% claim never to have heard of the site.



Proposal:

- ◆ Village Website team to come up with a new plan for the future to drive traffic to the site (this is in hand)

Contact Magazine

The Survey responses show that monthly readership has increased from 76% (in 2004) to 84% today

Library



Since 2004, the Survey shows that usage of the Adderbury Library has increased by 7% but still only 24% of respondents use it at least monthly.

Services such as photocopying, DVD lending and computer usage continue to be used by less than 5% of respondents.

Proposals:

- ◆ Now that the Library appears safe for the foreseeable future, it should focus as much on driving usage as on fund-raising and volunteering.
- ◆ More should be done to encourage computer usage and provide opportunities to learn computer skills.

Housing

How many new homes should be built in Adderbury over the next 20 years?



By comparison to the results of the 1994 and 2004 surveys it is evident that a decreasing proportion of respondents are against any development. The proportion of respondents supportive of the development of up to 25 new homes is virtually unchanged, although increasing proportions of respondents would now support construction of up to 50 houses (27%) and even up to 100 houses (18%) over the next twenty years. There is virtually no support for the construction of more than 100 houses (3%) which is at a level very consistent with the previous surveys.

	% (vs2004)
No New homes	16 (32)
Up to 10 homes	10 (16)
Up to 25 homes	26 (25)
Up to 50 homes	27 (19)
Up to 100 homes	18 (5)
More than 100 homes	3 (2)

The survey responses make it clear that the greatest support (27.4%) is for the housing stock to be increased through the development of single houses on infill plots, although 20% support the relatively small scale developments of up to five units. Fewer than 8% of respondents support the development of green field single sites for up to 50 houses.

The conclusion is that whilst there is support amongst respondents for the development of an additional 25 to 50 houses over the next 20 years, the desire is to see this number of units delivered through infilling and small scale developments, rather than through the larger-scale development of green field sites with estates of new houses. The gradual and piecemeal slow 'organic' expansion of the village's housing stock over 20 years is considered to be more desirable and sustainable

Type of house development supported

Whilst a notable proportion (12%) of respondents are of the view that there is no need for any increase in the housing stock as the present supply is sufficient in absolute numbers and in its diversity, a significant proportion (27%) support the construction of small two bedroomed / starter homes and 23% the construction of family houses of up to four bedrooms. 17% support the building of age restricted homes for the elderly; 15% the construction of "affordable" homes for shared ownership or rent to lower income households and 6% the building of larger four bedroom plus homes.


Whilst it is a requirement that developments over a certain number of units must contain an element of affordable homes, it is clear that the respondents require the supply of new homes to satisfy the requirements of a broad cross-section of the community.



Most appropriate and "sustainable" locations for development

Having considered the numbers and types of new homes to be built over the next 20 years, we now examine the most suitable and sustainable locations for any new homes. Various potential development 'zones' throughout the village were identified on a map forming part of the Survey document. The zones had previously been identified by villagers who attended the village briefing events held in the church and at the school.

Respondents were asked which zone they considered the **most appropriate and sustainable** locations for development. The greatest proportion (29.6%) identify zone A (to the west of the A4260 Banbury Road opposite the school). The next two zones with the greatest proportion of respondents in support are zone E (Aynho Road North) at 15.4% and zone H (Milton Road south) at 13.1%.



Results of Survey regarding the most and least Sustainable Sites									
Zone	Zone Most Favoured & Sustainable	Second Most Favoured & Sustainable	Total Columns 2&3	Rank	Least Favoured & Sustainable	Second Least Favoured & Sustainable	Total Columns 6&7	Sum of Columns 4&8	Rank
E	95	106	201	2	-43	-46	-89	112	1
L	48	75	123	4	-31	-42	-73	50	2
D	54	55	109	5	-25	-45	-70	39	3
A	183	61	244	1	-153	-58	-211	33	4
F	44	60	104	6	-43	-56	-99	5	5
M	35	20	55	8	-38	-27	-65	-10	6
H	81	65	146	3	-82	-75	-157	-11	7
B	19	30	49	10	-28	-60	-88	-39	8
G	17	36	53	9	-28	-70	-98	-45	9
J	44	48	92	7	-90	-60	-150	-58	10
C	14	14	28	11	-73	-42	-115	-87	11
X	no suitable sites		188				185		

Only 2.6% of the respondents favour zone G (Berry Hill Road south) and only 2.3% support any development in zone C (Twyford Road north) which effectively excludes a possible “exception site” for affordable housing. Only 7.1% support development in zone J (Milton Road north) where there has been debate within the village as to its suitability for development for some years.



Additionally, respondents were invited to identify their second choice zones for sustainable development. This combination of respondents’ first and second most suitable zones shows the four zones with most support to be (in descending order of support) to be zone A (Banbury Road west); zone E (Aynho Road north); zone H (Milton Road south) and zone L (land to the rear of the Katherine House hospice).

This approach continues to identify zone G (Berry Hill Road south) and zone C (Twyford Road north) with the least positive support and, in addition, zone B (Green Hill farm).

Respondents were also asked to identify the zones which they consider to be **the least appropriate and sustainable locations** for development. Although

zone A (Banbury Road west) had already been identified as the respondents’ first choice most sustainable site (29.6%) another 23.7% of respondents considered this to be the least suitable site for development. The next three zones eliciting the strongest reaction against development were (in order of greatest objection) zones J (Milton Road north), H (Milton Road south) and C (Twyford Road north).

Further analysis to combine the results of the survey data to identify zones where development was supported as well as zones considered to be unsuitable enables the **identification of zones with the highest ‘net’ level of support**. This results in the four sites with the greatest net support being in order of greatest support:

Zone E (Aynho Road north);
Zone D (Twyford Road south / r/o Twyford Avenue);
Zone L (to the rear of the hospice);
Zone A (Banbury Road west).

Sustainability

The above four sites were then ranked to assess their suitability for sustainable development using the Adderbury Sustainability Appraisal Framework Template

Zone A was identified, at an early stage, as the site which attracted the greatest support, and whilst taking into account those respondents who considered this to be an unsuitable location, the net level of support, nevertheless, maintained this zone’s position as one of the four sites with the greatest net level of support.

This zone has high sustainability because of its proximity to the centre of the village and the school as well as its position alongside the Oxford Road and on a bus route. Homes here would enable residents to access all of the village's key services (such as they are) on foot. Other locations, in view of Adderbury's geography, are around the periphery of the village and would cause new homes to be built at a considerable distance (beyond easy walking distance) from the village centre which will exacerbate car dependency and reduce the likelihood that the occupiers of houses in such locations will use the services located towards the centre of the village.



As Adderbury is not a compact village (unlike Deddington, for example), respondents do appear to recognise that development should be weighted towards the centre of the village, rather than around Adderbury's extremities. This may also be the reason for so little support for and feeling against Zones C (Twyford Road north) and G (Berry Hill Road south).

The clear Survey response for Adderbury to remain distinct from Banbury may also have militated against Zone C because it would shift the village's northern boundary towards Bodicote and Banbury and will leave only two fields between Adderbury's northern boundary and the southern extent of the proposed Banbury/Bodicote "Bankside" development.

The development zones H and J (Milton Road south and north), being on the periphery of the village are remote from the centre, have the added disadvantage of damaging the village's historic setting, and would inevitably result in an increase in the traffic volume through the historic core of the village - identified as a major concern elsewhere in the Survey.

As a result of the examination of each zone's sustainability the zones are now ranked as follows (from the most sustainable to the least sustainable):


- ◆ Zone A (Banbury Road west);
- ◆ Zone E (Aynho Road north);
- ◆ Zone L (land to the rear of the hospice);
- ◆ Zone D (Twyford Road south / r/o Twyford Avenue)

Proposals:

- ◆ **Zone A (Banbury Road west) is the most sustainable site for the development of new homes**
- ◆ **Zone E (Aynho Road north) is the second most sustainable location**
- ◆ **No more than 50 new homes be built over the next 20 years**
- ◆ **Additional homes be delivered, so far as is possible, through infilling, and on brown field sites with no single site exceeding five units so that the expansion of the village is on a small scale through piece-meal development**
- ◆ **A mix of housing types be delivered with provision across the spectrum from starter to family homes as well as affordable and age restricted homes**
- ◆ **Any development be located towards the centre of the village to support the centrally located services and to enable accessibility to those services on foot. This will ensure that the occupiers of new homes have a greater sense of community rather than isolation in 'remote' locations around the periphery**
- ◆ **Any new homes to display high standards of design and construction which are in harmony with the architectural quality of the historic core as well as being modern, efficient buildings addressing the differing requirements of their occupants**



Transport



The vast majority of respondents to the village survey use private transport as their primary means of transport. They also desire to reduce the volume and speed of traffic through Adderbury as their primary Transport and Traffic. Only a limited number of people use either public transport or cycle as alternative transport options. However, there is a wide divergence of responses from across the village as to the appropriate solutions to address in particular traffic speeds in Adderbury. Speed cameras, variable speed signs, speed bumps, road narrowing options all gain significant local support.



Public Transport

As of 2013, the village is serviced by only one major Bus Service linking with Banbury and Oxford and a number of private School Buses connecting with local schools in Bloxham and Banbury. The Survey shows that the primary bus service (S4 Banbury/Adderbury/Deddington/.....Oxford service) is frequented by some 200 residents at least once a month and some 101 people on a weekly or more frequent basis, with over 75% users of the service rating the frequency, reliability and cost of the service generally as reasonable or better - a marked improvement on the 2004 results where some 35% of

respondents rated the service to be unacceptable or poor.



Bicycle Usage

Usage of Bicycles in Adderbury remains relatively small with only some 25% of respondents using a bike weekly, and only 20 people using a bicycle more than 6 times per week on a regular basis. The major reason given by respondents for low levels of bicycle use remains safety concerns involving traffic volumes and speed in and around the village and the lack of dedicated cycle paths within Adderbury itself.

Proposals:

- ◆ **Construct dedicated cycle paths within the village and to local facilities**
- ◆ **Designate dedicated cycle lanes on local main roads entering Adderbury**
- ◆ **Work with www.sustrans.org.uk to develop a biking plan**

Pedestrian access

Although walking remains one of Adderbury's most popular recreation pursuits (some 406 respondents walk at least weekly, and approximately 500 people on, at least, a monthly basis) pedestrian access to areas of the village remains a concern. In particular the adequacy of footpaths along Banbury Road in and around the school remains an issue for residents as does the lack of footpaths along Aynho Road to the Banbury Business Park, and along Berry Hill Rd between the Horn Hill and Oxford Rd junctions.

A number of residents and businesses, during the course of the Survey, identified safety concerns with respect to the Aynho Road and pedestrian access to the Banbury Business Park. This is particularly because people using the local Bus Services to Adderbury from Banbury and further afield, are

required to walk for an extended period on the road carriageway itself to reach their place of work.

Proposals:

- ◆ **New footpaths along Berry Hill Rd, between Horn Hill and Oxford Roads**
- ◆ **New Pedestrian Crossing point on Berry Hill Rd at the junction of St Mary's Road**
- ◆ **New footpaths along Aynho Road from the Hospice to Banbury Business Park.**

Private transport

The usage and volume of private vehicles in Adderbury remains high with some 1.7 vehicles per household (a marginal increase from the 2004 of 1.6 vehicles), of which some 21% are parked on the road (a significant increase on 15% recorded in 2004). Some 63% of respondents work and travel outside the village on a regular basis.



When asked what one aspect of the village would you like to change? – the number one response (15%) is 'sort out the problem of on-street parking throughout the village'.

Unfortunately there is no clear alternative space identified for off-street parking, and limiting access through the village might be the preferred solution.

Traffic Issues and Concerns

As noted both in the 1994 and 2004 village surveys, traffic volumes and speed remain major concerns for residents with some 78% of respondents believing traffic travels too quickly through the village (82% in 2004).

In analysing the data in detail, and with similar results in the 2004 survey, it is fairly clear that residents are particularly concerned with four specific

localities regarding volume and speed of traffic in Adderbury namely, the Northern Banbury Road between Twyford and Aynho Roads; the High Street – Horn Hill Road link through the centre of the village; the speed of vehicles using the Milton / Berry Hill Rd; and traffic access to and from and around the Christopher Rawlins School.

Residents have expressed a strong desire for a number of traffic calming measures to address these issues, covering a range of alternative solutions depending on the locality involved and the concerns identified.



	Total Results
Speed Bumps	65
Road Narrowing	72
Restricted Access	48
Speed Cameras	116
Local Access Only Traffic Signs	213
Pedestrian Crossings	79
Variable speed indicators	176
Reduced 20 mph Speed Zones	123
Remodelled Junctions	127
Traffic Lights	72
Village Gates	93

Taking into account the detailed responses provided by village area, allowing for multiple inputs and excluding those participants that did not express a specific location concern, demand for traffic calming measures by area in Adderbury are set out below.

	Banbury – Oxford Rd's	High St – Horn Hill Rd	Twyford Estate	Aynho Road	Milton – Berry Hill Rd
Speed Bumps	11	29	9	-	-
Road Narrowing	2	79		-	-
Restricted Access		23	4	-	-
Speed Cameras	32	41			10
Local Access Only Traffic Signs	6	113	4	45	5
Pedestrian Crossings	47	-	-	2	11
Variable speed indicators	79	24	4	7	31
Reduced 20 mph Speed Zones	16	55	-	16	-
Remodelled Junctions	32	24	-	42	13
Traffic Lights	47	-	-	5	-
Village Gates	28	2	-	14	32

Areas of major concern



Areas of secondary concern



Addressing the above, a number of proposals have been derived from the Survey with strong support from respondents.

Proposals:

- ♦ Installation of “Village Only” traffic signs at the junctions of Banbury Road / High Street & Milton Road / Horn Hill Road, to limit volumes through the village.
- ♦ Introduction of Variable Speed Indicators (VSI) on access points to the village (Oxford Road, Milton Road and Aynho Roads) to reduce incoming vehicle speed.
- ♦ Installation of a Speed Camera on the Banbury Road approximately at the junction of Banbury Rd & Griffin Close, to enforce speed limits entering the village
- ♦ Road narrowing “pinch points” on Water Lane and Horn Hill Road to reduce traffic speeds and volumes through the village
- ♦ Remodelling of the Banbury / Twyford Road junction through the introduction of traffic lights to control access to the Twyford Estate and reduce vehicle speed entering Adderbury
- ♦ Introduction of a 20 mph speed limit on all Village Roads including High Street / Horn Hill Road
- ♦ Remodelling of the Aynho / Banbury Road junction to reduce traffic delays and improve pedestrian access / safety to the school.
- ♦ Introduction of village gates on Milton & Aynho Roads access point to the Village
- ♦ Monitor traffic flows in the future tracking the development on the southern side of Banbury



Environment



We asked residents to comment on the environmental facets/aspects of the village which they value, would like to see improved and/or incorporated into decisions regarding new developments. It is encouraging that the Survey questions were well interpreted with residents stating quite clearly how they value the local countryside/agricultural landscape, the green open spaces and architecture of Adderbury.

What makes Adderbury distinctive?

When asked what aspects of the village made it distinctive, there is an overwhelming consensus that the local Hornton Stone used in the façade of houses and other buildings was the most important element of the village with 789 responses. There is also significant appreciation placed on the social importance of the village community (666), its societies and organisations (587), its infrastructure of perimeter walls/hedges (440), and its working farms and agricultural enterprises (376).

Residents were also asked give their own thoughts on what made the village distinctive and the top four are St Mary's Church, the village, the Lakes and the Green.

Proposals:

- ♦ The recognised importance of the use of Hornton Stone be included in the Plan with a policy formulated to achieve the use of this stone in new developments where appropriate.
- ♦ Full support be given to the continued activities of the many societies and organisations in the village.
- ♦ The continued protection and monitoring of walls and hedges (trees) under the existing Conservation Area legislation be included in the Plan

Key Features

Asked what features should be required in all new residential developments? The respondents identify the following in priority order:

- ♦ Developments be sympathetic to existing built vernacular style and location of the site. (840 responses)
- ♦ Developments be designed to provide off road parking for residents of the development. (790)
- ♦ The height of new residential buildings be no greater than 2 storeys. (707)
- ♦ All new development be constructed using the local Hornton Stone where that is characteristic of Adderbury (685)
- ♦ All new houses in a proposed residential development be provided with a private and viable garden space. (586)
- ♦ All fence heights be limited to 1.50m. (435)

Proposal:

- ♦ **All the above be incorporated into suitably worded policies in the Plan**

Other key features submitted by respondents but not in the above list include:

- play, retirement bungalows, solar panels, space.

Importance of the countryside

83% of respondents expressed the view that the countryside around the village was "very important" to them.

Proposals:

- ♦ **In conjunction with the recommendations flowing from the housing part of this Plan, protect those identified, sensitive, visually high value and less sustainable areas of countryside from development**
- ♦ **Those areas identified as potential**

development sites, being of lower quality landscape value, to be the subject of policies, such as, use of Hornton stone, maximum two storey houses, landscape zones on new boundaries, etc.

Protecting our environment

Villagers were asked what should be protected in the event of housing developments on green fields outside the existing edge of the village. An analysis of responses by percentage shows strong support for the following:

- ◆ A massive 98% of respondents are of the view that our Greens, open spaces and the Lucy Plackett Playing Field are 'very important' or 'important'.
- ◆ 94% of respondents consider that the provision of new woodland and biodiversity zones on all new countryside boundaries of residential development permitted in green field sites during the life of the Plan, to be 'very important' or 'important'.
- ◆ There is also strong support (81%) ('very important/important') for the protection of existing views and vistas to St Marys Church and the village.

Proposals:

- ◆ **The owners/trustees/management committees of the Greens, designated open spaces and the Lucy Plackett Playing Field be informed of the value placed on these areas by residents of the village to ensure that none become available for development and to ensure use and enjoyment by future generations**
- ◆ **The requirement for woodland and biodiversity zones in developer's housing layouts at the new countryside edge of residential developments of Greenfield sites be included in a suitably worded policy in the Plan**
- ◆ **Important vistas/views are be protected from the intrusion of new development by a suitably worded policy in the Plan**

The Adderbury Lakes

The Adderbury Lakes are enjoyed by an increasing number of visitors with similar usage to that of the field paths. The number of residents that never visit or are unaware of the existence of the Lakes has reduced from the data collected for the 2004 survey.



Proposal:

- ◆ **The Management Committee of the Adderbury Lakes Local Nature Reserve be given the full support of the community and Parish Council to undertake the planned restoration works identified in the Management Plan**

Our field paths

On the subject of how we value and use our field paths, the results are encouraging with 35% of respondents using them daily or weekly, rising to 50% with those who use them monthly. Comments as to how greater use could be encouraged are similar to the 2004 survey, with requests for clearer paths and signage.

When asked an open question on what would encourage more frequent use of the field paths, the responses are low. However, many of the key words used, such as "signs", "clear/mess", "access" and "stiles" indicate maintenance issues that should be identified and dealt with by the local authorities. It is unfortunate that the Plan can do nothing in response to the 19 residents who included the key word "Weather"!

Proposal:

- ◆ **Adderbury Parish Council and the Oxfordshire County Council, as the responsible authority, set up a working group to monitor and identify problems with the Adderbury Parish footpaths to ensure that sign posting and maintenance works are carried out to ensure the viability and attraction of the footpath network in the area.**

Should our Conservation Area be extended?

When asked if the Adderbury Conservation Area should be extended, only 12 respondents think it necessary with 116 against further change.

Proposal:

- ◆ **No extension to the present boundaries of the Adderbury Conservation Area should be sought.**

Note: Anecdotal evidence from TAP public meetings indicate that residents of the village would like to see small areas of existing mown grass in the Village, such as parts of the Churchyard and Lucy Plackett Playing Field being set aside for pollen rich wild flora and enhanced biodiversity.

Services & Utilities

The Survey invited Adderbury residents to give their views on services and utilities.



While the emergency services and mains gas continue to be highly rated, a number of services have declined since 2004.

Poor ratings are recorded for:

- ◆ road sweeping (36% of respondents versus 25% in 2004)
- ◆ gritting (38% vs. 28%)
- ◆ pavements (35% vs. 22%)
- ◆ post office (35% vs. 19%)
- ◆ recycling (34% vs. 25%)

- ◆ grass verge cutting (33% vs. 25%)

Does the village suffer from any types of disturbance?

The most concern (to 15% of respondents it mattered a great deal) is pet waste/litter (17% in 2004) while litter is less of a concern (5% vs. 10%)

Noise pollution 12% and light pollution 8% remained about the same as in 2004.

Proposals

- ◆ **Report findings on services and utilities to relevant authorities via Parish council to seek means of improving performance**
- ◆ **Although we have kept our post office, the limited opening hours do restrict support. There were a number of suggestions to merge the post office with the village stores to mutual benefit and this should be investigated and encouraged**



- ◆ **Although the concern over pet waste and general litter was not borne out in any increased call for improvement in waste bin provision, there should be a plan to reduce the 'poor' rating of 24% - if only to educate the public!**



Energy

In the Survey the questions on energy are quite different to 2004 as the energy landscape has changed markedly since then, with the widely held view that centralised energy – the power stations as we know them today – will over time be increasingly superseded by decentralised, local plants serving communities and businesses. This can be a reality today for villages like Adderbury although responses to our questionnaire are in favour of the status quo (93%).

Responses to specific low carbon alternatives reveal support in varying levels with no outright consensus for or against. Surprisingly with solar panels commonplace on public and private sector buildings, residents of Adderbury do not want to see further uptake (75%). The one solution which is supported strongly was combined heat and power boilers (78%), but this could be because these are relatively easily accommodated within a building.

	high	med	low
By centralised power supplier (like today)	438	180	49
Decentralised (local) power/heat supply via community owned and managed solution,	105	159	213
Solar Photovoltaic Panels for electricity generation located in a field/ scrub land with minimal visual impact	179	185	244
Solar Photo Voltaic panels on the roof of suitable buildings	153	189	279
Wind turbines along M40 corridor or other suitable sites	131	172	345
Combined heat and power boilers which generate electricity and use the waste heat for heating buildings and hot water in the school and other public buildings	248	226	135
Other power station using agricultural waste	100	215	214

When the question was phrased in the context of new developments, there is strong support for building construction to be improved to reduce energy/water consumption including the more discreet renewables – such as heat pumps. There is less support for the incorporation of more visible “renewables” such as solar PV and thermal.

	high	med	low
Solar Photo Voltaic Panels for electricity generation on roofs	238	163	260
Solar thermal on roofs for hot water	242	187	232
Ground source and air source heating	274	216	120
Combined heat and power boilers	291	230	84
Improved housing insulation	723	103	12
Rain water collection	606	174	34
None	32	9	45

Proposal

- ♦ **New housing developments should adopt all or some of the low carbon energy measures in addition to meeting the statutory sustainability codes as laid down by central government.**



Extract of November Adderbury Contact explaining results of the Leisure Survey.

Results of the Adderbury Leisure Survey

As you are aware, the team of councillors and residents involved in drawing up the submission felt the need to gauge the level of community views on new options relating to leisure facilities in the village. Following the presentations at Christopher Rawlins School and at the Institute, and completion of the questionnaire that closed on 30th September, 183 valid responses have been received from households across Adderbury (statistics summarising the results are attached).

A wide spectrum of views was received, showing how deeply households felt about the issues raised and some clear themes appeared:

Milton Road Site

The top three preferences at the Milton Road Site were for a large community centre, football pitches and woodland (or similar) uses. Of the comments received, the emphasis was on ensuring that the land was multi-use for the benefit of the whole community and a range of other sport activities were suggested with limited support. There was also some support for a cemetery or natural burial ground. Of the large community centre, several people cited King's Sutton community centre as a model to follow.

More than one household drew attention to the need to have "child and disabled -friendly" foot paths and cycle ways to the site and safe pedestrian crossings from St Mary's Road and the new Adderbury Fields development. Other access points to the site from Horn Hill Road were also suggested.

Lucy Plackett Site

The top preferences at the Lucy Plackett site were for upgrade of the play area (with several comments suggesting its condition was "pathetic", "terrible", "really poor") and consolidation of the existing buildings and their functions into a small community centre on the same plot. The availability of a large green space in the centre of the village was appreciated and although there was support for a cricket pitch in preference to football on the site some emphasized its value as an uncluttered open space safe for children.

How else should funds available to the Parish Council be used?

A lot of commentary was received on having more, and safe, footpaths and cycle ways around the village together with traffic calming measures and better parking. Away from the Milton Road site and the Lucy Plackett, comments focused on also using funds for sustaining and maintaining the Library, the Institute, the cemetery and the Lakes.

Fund Raising

As was stated in the presentations and in the introduction to the survey, funding for any leisure development was critical to what could be done and would require a lot of focused activity on the part of Adderbury residents. We are pleased to say that some 57 households indicated their willingness to be involved in fundraising.

In the introduction to the survey, we indicated that some funds could be realised from a "profit share" by releasing, for additional housing (about 6 homes), a small piece land that will become available to the Parish Council as part of the already approved housing development on the north side of Milton Road. No objection was received to this proposal, but it will only go part of the way to meeting the funds required. The majority contribution will have to come from community fundraising, Sports England grants and funds from similar bodies including possibly the Lottery Fund.

General funds raised should be used with the benefit of the whole community in mind. There will also be annual maintenance costs to be funded.

The Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan: Next steps

The input from the village on leisure facilities will now be used to shape the leisure policy submission. In preparing for the Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan submission, most of the detailed policy work on housing, the environment, business, employment, transport and facilities has already been done based on initial community consultations. With the addition of the leisure submission, a complete Neighbour

Plan will be put before the Parish Council at its meeting on 25th October.

If accepted, the Plan will then pass to Cherwell District Council and inspectors for scrutiny before entering a six week consultation period, before Christmas, for the community and certain stakeholders (utilities, County Council, etc) to comment. A referendum of villagers (organised by Cherwell District Council) is expected early in the New Year.

Thank you all for your contributions!

Leisure Results

30th September 2016 Close

183 cleared submissions (15% of Adderbury Households)

In addition there were:

13 Responses showing an address only - not responding to any of the questions 4 - 12 - therefore removed from results data

7 Duplicates (ie 2 or more entries for the same address - only the latest received is in the cleared results)

4 "fakes" (ie from the same IP address but for different geographical addresses all received as consecutive entries on the same day

8 Out of area (ie address not from Adderbury)

1 addressed from Adderbury Park FC - therefore unable to identify household

Milton Road Land						
Question 4	1st Rank only		1st to 5rd Ranks Total		1st to 5th rank weighted	
	No of Responses	% of 180	No of Responses	% of 180	Score	Position
Top 6 Uses						
Cricket Pitch	7	4%	84	47%	7.08	3
Football pitches	68	38%	107	59%	8.21	1
Outdoor Fitness	7	4%	89	49%	6.83	5
Play Area	10	6%	82	46%	7.06	4
Part Woodland etc	58	32%	121	67%	7.71	2
Other Use	15	8%	91	51%		

Question 6	1st to 4th Ranks Total		1st to 4th Ranks Total			
	% of 178	% of 178	% of 178	% of 178		
Large Comm Centre	71	40%	111	62%	3.21	1
Small Comm Centre	30	17%	107	60%	3.11	2
Club House	20	11%	110	62%	2.49	4
Nothing	52	29%	111	62%	2.6	3

Lucy Plackett Land

Question 7

Top 5 Uses

	% of 177		1st to 5th Ranks		% of 177	
			Total			
Cricket Pitch	33	19%	95	54%	5.66	2
Football Pitch Upgrade	30	17%	78	44%	5.6	3
Outdoor Fitness	9	5%	94	53%	5.14	4
Play Area Upgrade	84	47%	142	80%	6.32	1
Other Use	10	6%	65	37%		

Question 9

	% of 175		1st to 4th Ranks		% of 175	
			Total			
Small Comm Centre	94	54%	117	67%	3.72	1
Club House	19	11%	89	51%	2.93	3
Improvements to existing	45	26%	109	62%	2.94	2
Nothing	10	6%	69	39%	1.77	4

Weights are applied in reverse. In other words, the respondent's most preferred choice (which they rank as #1) has the largest weight, and their least preferred choice (which they rank in the last position) has a weight of 1. You can't change the default weights.

For example, if a Ranking question has 5 answer choices, weights are assigned as follows:

The #1 choice has a weight of 5

The #2 choice has a weight of 4

The #3 choice has a weight of 3

The #4 choice has a weight of 2

The #5 choice has a weight of 1

We apply weights in this way to ensure that when the data is presented on a chart, it's clear which answer choice is most preferred.

57 Adderbury Residents were willing to help fundraise

Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan Pre Submission Plan Consultation Statement – March 2017

This report provides a detailed analysis of the outcome of the public consultation period of the Pre Submission Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan held from November 2016 – February 2017. This report reviews the representations made by local members of the public, parish councillors and developers in Part A, and by Statutory Consultees in Part B. It makes recommendations for minor modifications to the plan for submission and should be read in conjunction with Appendix E.

Name: Part A	Main Points	Response	ANP to be amended	Amends made?
Archstone	1) Publication of the Screening Determination on the District Council's website, with direct notification via e-mail when this has occurred; 2) Allocation of Land West of Horn Hill Road for one dwelling with an attached memorial ground; 3) Removal of the Settlement Boundary or amendment in accordance with the Plan provided; 4) Deletion of Policy AD2 and the allocation of AD3 as Local Green Space; and 5) Modification to Policy AD21: Development proposals that contribute to the delivery of a policy will be supported. They do not agree with the settlement boundary. It is drawn inconsistently and needs more justification. The settlement boundary should be removed - or if it is kept it should be amended to include the application land on Horn Hill Rd.	AD1 (settlement boundary) has been amended to further justify the inclusion and position of the Settlement Boundary. Statutory Consultees have been broadly supportive of this policy. Development of Horn Hill Rd not desirable or necessary for the village. AD2 & 3 detail the important green spaces that provide the character of our village.	AD1 has been amended. AD2 has been amended slightly to clarify that it is development proposals that are 'within or immediately adjoining' that are required to meet AD2	Yes

Brown and Co David Thorpe	AD1 and AD5 do not meet the basic conditions - need more justification for the size of the GAPs. Section 3 doesn't make reference to PPG	AD1 (settlement boundary) has been amended to further justify the inclusion and position of the Settlement Boundary. Statutory consultees have all been broadly supportive of the inclusion of these policies. The Basic Conditions Statement will further justify the inclusion of AD1 and AD5.	AD1 has been amended	Yes
Brown and Co Paul Curtiss	AD1 and AD5 do not meet the basic conditions - need more justification for the size of the GAPs. Section 3 doesn't make reference to PPG	AD1 (settlement boundary) has been amended to further justify the inclusion and position of the Settlement Boundary. Statutory consultees have all been broadly supportive of the inclusion of these policies. The Basic Conditions Statement will further justify the inclusion of AD1 and AD5.	AD1 has been amended	Yes
Clem and Noelene Davison	A football field and community hall are not necessary or desirable on the Milton Rd field because there are many existing facilities in the village and the need for new facilities is over stated. The area is on the edge of the village and is therefore unsuitable. The costs of the project are	None of these issues are included in the ANP. AD18 allocates the land in line with the restrictive covenant; for sports and community uses. A full and open feasibility study will be undertaken by the whole Parish Council to decide what facilities should be put on the Milton Rd field.	No amendments to ANP required but response will be forwarded to the PC to include in their feasibility study	No

	likely to be very large and will increase with time. Village residents should not be expected to pay for these extra costs. The land should be used as a burial site.		on options.	
Gill, Astley, Jelfs, Asbury & Clarke	<p>The plan only contains Land use policies</p> <p>Land (Banbury Rd West) should be allocated to protect Adderbury from Development</p> <p>The Settlement Boundary is not tight enough and lacks clarity on how it was drawn up</p> <p>The plan should allocate a site for development of 30 houses</p> <p>There is no policy on infrastructure</p> <p>The Milton Rd Gap is not big enough</p> <p>Issues about AD18 and allocating land for sports and community uses.</p> <p>The Twyford Rd Canal Bridge should be added to the list of community assets.</p>	<p>Adderbury has already had 180 new homes approved in the last few years and therefore no further sites are allocated in this neighbourhood plan. This reflects the wishes of the majority of the community. As no sites are allocated we are not given the opportunity in our plan to guide infrastructure associated with new developments, such as roads, footpaths or cycle ways.</p> <p>We are not able to allocate land to 'protect' it. A Neighbourhood Plan is about guiding development, not protecting it. The land described is already outside of the settlement boundary and also noted in the design policies.</p> <p>The location of the settlement boundary follows established conventions, which will be explained in the Basic Conditions Statement.</p> <p>We have sought advise from CDC on whether we need to allocate further sites in Adderbury and they have indicated that it is not necessary in our plan. Allocating another site for homes would be very unpopular with the wider community.</p> <p>We have sought advice from our consultant</p>	<p>The Foreword has been amended to explain further why the NP only contains land use planning policies. AD1 has been amended to add clarity and the Basic Conditions Statement will further explain the justification of the position of the settlement boundary.</p> <p>The Twyford Rd Canal Bridge has been added to the list of community assets.</p>	Yes

		on the size of the Milton Rd Gap and he has advised that increasing the size of it from that in the pre-submission document could risk the inspector removing the policy altogether as the intention of the policy is ONLY to prevent coalescence of settlements. The Twyford Rd Canal Bridge was omitted and should be added.		
Gill and Astley Additional Comments	The 'white spaces' on Map C within the settlement boundary aren't covered by design policies. The Christopher Rawlins site should be protected.	<p>The whole of Adderbury is already covered by the Design policies set out in the Cherwell Local plan, specifically ESD 15. This sets out many requirements for development to 'sustain and enhance the character of historic settlements and promoting good design more generally'.</p> <p>The areas that are 'white' are not covered by any specific ANP design policy were not considered to have a strong enough uniform character that would require any additional design policies over and above those already set out by Cherwell in their local plan in Policy ESD15.</p> <p>The ANP cannot allocate sites to protect it.</p>	no	no
Gladman Richard Agnew	The plan doesn't meet the basic conditions, They don't support the settlement boundary or the gaps policies. They offer their assistance to the Parish Council in preparing the NP for us.	AD1 (settlement boundary) has been amended to further justify the inclusion and position of the Settlement Boundary. Statutory consultees have all been broadly supportive of the inclusion of these policies. The Basic Conditions Statement will further justify the inclusion of AD1 and AD5.	AD1 has been amended	Yes

Gladman Cancer Research	They are concerned that if we don't allocate a 'reserve site' for housing that we may find ourselves having further housing approved by appeal if Cherwell loses its 5-year land supply. They have just the spot for us to allocate, should we need it.	AD1 (settlement boundary) has been amended to further justify the inclusion and position of the Settlement Boundary. Statutory consultees have all been broadly supportive of the inclusion of these policies. The Basic Conditions Statement will further justify the inclusion of AD1 and AD5. CDC have assured us that their 5 year land supply is secure.	AD1 has been amended	Yes
Robert Stilgoe	As the plan contains no provision for the school he is unable to support it.	Provision of school places, planning and infrastructure is outside of the remit of a neighbourhood plan and is managed by the County Council. Since 180 homes have been built in Adderbury, OCC has agreed to increase the size of Christopher Rawlins School to one and a half form entry. Work on this expansion is already underway.	No	No
Ros Bailey	Thanks ANP Team and welcomes Lake Walk Green designation as open space - points out that it is not marked correctly on the map	Mrs Bailey is right that Lake Walk wasn't identified on Map Inset B – this will be amended	Yes	Yes
Rosconn	They too would like to offer us a 'reserve site' for housing - this time the Land South of the Milton Rd adjacent to Adderbury Fields. They don't think the settlement boundary meets the basic conditions. Footpaths, bridleways and cycle routes are protected under other legislation and it is unnecessary for AD2 to include them. The Gaps aren't necessary because ESD13 already protects	AD1 (settlement boundary) has been amended to further justify the inclusion and position of the Settlement Boundary. Statutory consultees have all been broadly supportive of the inclusion of these policies. The Basic Conditions Statement will further justify the inclusion of AD1 and AD5. AD2 is necessary to protect our green	No	Yes

	these areas.	infrastructure network within our settlement boundary.		
Chris Mason Swift Project	He requests that we require all new building includes 'swift bricks' to provide nesting sites for the local population of Swifts.	It isn't a design issue and doesn't fit with our policies. Suggest that he lobbies for it to be included in Cherwell Policy ESD 10.	No	No
Simon Davies	Does the plan have any provision for water drainage in Adderbury? New development will increase the likelihood of further flooding along the Sor Brook.	The plan doesn't allocate any further sites for development and therefore doesn't make any provision for flood mitigation. It has no power to require flood mitigation for existing homes. New developments approved in the village have had sustainable drainage requirements placed upon them by CDC, including the building of 'swales and balancing ponds' to slow down the flow of flood water into the brook. CDC also requires all new development within flood risk areas to undertake a site specific flood risk assessment. The Adderbury Parish Council has undertaken an extensive study of flood mitigation and the actions it can take the report is attached.	Yes the plan will be amended to make it clearer that there already flood mitigation policies in place in Adderbury	Yes.
Name: Part B Statutory Consultees	Main Points	Response	ANP to be amended	Amends made?
Anglian Water	No comment as outside their area of responsibility	None	No	No
Canal and River Trust	Not enough recognition of the Canal as a Conservation area, tourism and employment area	Agreed more mention of the Oxford Canal was necessary.	Yes --Plan amended --	Yes

	or heritage site. Need to add the Canal to AD21.	Though not specifically named the Oxford Canal would be applicable under AD 21: Community Infrastructure Levy as a heritage asset, leisure facility and cycleway	AD20 to include specific detail on the Canal Conservation area. Also AD 17 amended to include Canal bridge.	
Cherwell District Council	Generally supportive. Required further explanation of AD1 (justification of settlement boundary), changes to maps (AD2/AD5/AD15/AD7/AD3/AD11).Supported AD policies 6-16 (Design), 19 (Community Assets), 22 (employment and tourism)	ANP team met with officers from CDC to discuss and agree amendments to the Plan.	Yes -- Maps all amended as required. Justification of AD1 provided in Basic Conditions Statement. Minor amendments requested were made.	Yes
Environment Agency	No detailed comments made. Referred APC to local Authority's Surface Water Management Plan	ANP checked the Flood Risk areas and APC Flood Risk Assessment Plan.	No	No
Historic England	No objections and said the ANP2 was an example of good practice. Congratulated APC on concise and clearly worded policies. Some suggestions for slight changes in wording of policies. Strongly supported AD17 and AD 21	Agreed minor adjustments to wording in some of Design policies AD 6-16	Minor adjustments to wording made.	Yes
Natural England	NE's remit has been addressed successfully in ANP2. Requested two additional points in AD18.	Agreed addition of 'biodiversity net gain' request but request to protect best agricultural land was not accepted as appropriate.	AD18 amended to add 'biodiversity net gain' at point v)	Yes

Oxfordshire County Council	No mention of Transport or Transport policies. No mention of SUDs. Support for Ecology and Green infrastructure policies. Raised concern that AD 16 will prevent footpaths being added. Exclude Lake Walk Green from AD3 and 4 No reference to archaeology	Transport is not within the remit of planning policy to control matter. SUDs are already covered by LP and the NPPF. Road verges on Berryhill Rd are wide enough to accommodate footpath. Reconsider inclusion of Lake Walk Green. No need for archaeology policy as already covered by LP and NPPF	No . AD 3 and 4 reconsidered but decided not to amend.	No
Oxfordshire Playing Fields Association	OPFA fully endorses the ANP2, especially the Green Infrastructure network.	Noted OPFA's offer of advice and support for planned improvement recreation projects.	No	No
Thames Water	TW supports paragraph 3,4 and reference to policies within Cherwell LP Part 1. Requested a specific section on Infrastructure.	That Infrastructure matters are not generally a matter for planning but are the responsibility of the Provider and are already covered by CDC policies. As ANP2 contains no major development proposals this is unnecessary.	No	No

ADDERBURY PARISH NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

REGULATION 14 REPORT: FEBRUARY 2017

Purpose

1. The purpose of this report is to summarise part of the outcome of the consultation period on the Pre-Submission Adderbury Parish Neighbourhood Plan held from December 2016 to February 2017. The report reviews the representations made by some of the statutory consultees, including the local planning authority – Cherwell District Council (CDC) – and by developers/landowners. It then makes recommendations for minor modifications to the Plan for its submission.

2. The report will be published by the 'qualifying body', Adderbury Parish Council, and it will be appended to the Consultation Statement that will accompany the submitted Plan in due course, in line with the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012.

Consultation Analysis

3. During the consultation period there were representations made by local people and by developers/landowners and by other local and interested organisations. The District Council and Oxfordshire County Council have made representations and responses have been received from the Environment Agency, Natural England and Historic England. A separate report (Appendix E) covers the specific comments made by local people, developer and land owners, and other local and interested organisations, as well as these Statutory Consultees.

4. The summary analysis of, and response to, all the substantive comments made in these representations is provided in respect of each policy below:

AD1 Adderbury Settlement Boundary

- Justify approach to using this policy device when it is not used elsewhere in the District – necessary
- clarify method for drawing boundary - necessary
- clarify wording on development outside the boundary – necessary
- policy is overly restrictive for a Category A village – no change necessary but explain position in Basic Conditions Statement
- include land west of Horn Hill Road within the boundary – reconsider
- include East House and Katherine House within the boundary – reconsider

AD2: Green Infrastructure

- clarify wording on how it will be implemented – necessary
- exclude land at Lake Walk from the Network to reflect application for dwelling – reconsider
- explain how Network was defined – necessary

- footpaths, bridleways and cycleways not green infrastructure – disagree but explain position in Basic Conditions Statement

AD3 & AD4: Local Green Spaces/Local Open Spaces

- make the distinction between them clearer and bolster evidence to support NPPF §77 tests – necessary
- exclude Lake Walk Green from list to reflect application for dwelling – reconsider
- explain how spaces were identified - necessary

AD5: Local Gaps

- improve justification – desirable
- may hinder highways improvements to support development in Bodicote – those works not incompatible with policy so no change necessary
- policy unnecessary as Local Plan ESD13 already provides protection – disagree but explain position in Basic Conditions Statement

AD6 – AD16: Managing Design

- improve wording on building materials and fencing – desirable
- AD14 does not allow for road widening – statutory highways works will not require planning consent so no change needed
- AD16 prevents new footways – reconsider
- Clarify AD8 relating to OCC land - reconsider

AD17: Local Heritage Assets

- clarify source material - necessary

AD18: New Community Facilities

- Add requirement to deliver a net biodiversity gain – desirable
- Add requirement to avoid BMV agricultural land – not necessary given specific site character and proposed uses
- There should be more detail on the financial arrangements of the Parish Council to secure a scheme – this is not a planning matter and should be addressed separately by the Parish Council
- The policy should be deleted as there is not a consensus in the village that it is necessary here or at this time – reconsider
- Land is better suited to a new cemetery - reconsider

AD19: Community Assets & Local Services

- Clarify role of setting – agreed
- qualify building heights – agreed
- reword to allow for re-provision of service in a better location etc – desirable

- no mention of Christopher Rawlins Primary School – proposals to improve already approved so no need to make provision, but add to this list
- define 'harm' in supporting text - necessary

AD20: Promoting New Employment

- Define significant views – agreed
- Clarify wording relating to the canal - necessary

AD21: Community Infrastructure Levy

- Junction improvements to A4260/A4100 should be added to the list - consider
- Add proposals to improve public footpaths – consider
- Projects are too ambiguous to allow for a requirement to avoid harm so this part should be deleted - necessary

Other Comments & Analysis

- amend no. of dwellings consented in plan period – necessary
- improve policy map clarity - necessary
- add plan showing consented housing schemes – desirable
- disappointment at lack of transport-related policies – noted but not within the remit of planning policy to control
- no reference to SUDS – no change as already covered by NPPF and Local Plan
- no reference to archaeology - no change as already covered by NPPF and Local Plan
- no SEA screening opinion – not necessary at this stage, in hand now and will be explained in the Basic Conditions Statement
- protect land west of Banbury Road for potential allocation later in the plan period – no necessary as AD1 position clear on allocations
- the plan is silent on local housing needs – as the plan makes no housing site allocations nor contains a housing mix policy (instead relying on Local Plan Policy BSC4), there is no need for this analysis
- no comments on infrastructure – these are not generally planning matters but obligations on statutory providers; as the plan contains no major development proposals this is not necessary

Summary

5. In conclusion, it is considered that with a combination of minor modifications to the final submission document and some clarifications made in the other documentation (i.e. the Consultation Statement and Basic Conditions Statement and Local Green Space/Landscape report), the Plan can quickly proceed to submission, rather than require another pre-submission consultation.

Response to Colin Astley letter claiming inadequate publicity for the Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan consultation period

The 6 week consultation period ran from November 1 to December 17 . This Period was then extended to February 2017 to allow both Statutory and local consultees extra time for submission of comments.

The diary of the publicity undertaken in Adderbury on the Pre-submission consultation is as follows:

1. Adderbury Contact magazine delivered to all village households w/c October 31 with an extra 4 page article (below) advising villagers of the headlines of the plan and the next steps of the process, including the message:
“We are writing to let you know that the full Plan will shortly be available on the www.adderbury.org and www.adderburypc.co.uk websites with a printed copy for inspection in the Library.
You are invited to make your comments on the Plan before December 13 via these websites or place them in the comments box in the Library”.
2. This article and the facility to make comments posted on November 1 on the village website www.adderbury.org and the Parish council website www.adderburypc.co.uk
3. Hard copies of the plan and a box for posting comments placed in Adderbury Library from November 1
4. Summary articles on the above were published each week for 6 weeks from November 3 in the Around the Villages section of the Banbury Guardian
5. A countdown of how many days left to respond is being posted each day from December 6 on www.adderbury.org

One of the signatories of Mr Astley’s note, Councillor Sue Jelfs is a member of the planning team which devised and actioned the above process – with no contradiction offered
 Four other signatories are members of the Adderbury Parish Council, which signed off the process in October.

Another signatory, Mr Bradshaw, posted this comment on www.adderbury.org :
 I think that we should all thank those who have worked tirelessly on parishioner’s behalf to produce the plan. Councillors Astley and Gill should have a special mention for all the early work on ANP and which was then followed on by others. We must remember that all this effort is voluntary and unpaid.

It is then a little surprising that ‘several prominent members of the community’ were unaware of the above given that these methods of communication have been widely and successfully used in Adderbury over many years for many public consultations.

Below is the content of 4 pages of the Adderbury Contact in November 2016:

Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan

At the time of writing it is anticipated that the proposed Neighbourhood Plan will be accepted by the Parish Council at their meeting on 25th October. The Plan then passes to Cherwell District Council and inspectors for scrutiny before entering a six week consultation period, before Christmas, for all of us and certain stakeholders (utilities, County Council, etc) to comment. When the Plan is approved, a village referendum will be organised by Cherwell District Council in the New Year.

We are writing to let you know that the full Plan will shortly be available on the www.adderbury.org and www.adderburypc.co.uk websites with a printed copy for inspection in the Library.

You are invited to make your comments on the Plan before December 13 via these websites or place them in the comments box in the Library.

More than 100 Adderbury residents have done a great deal of work over the last 4 years to get this neighbourhood plan to where we are now. The results of consultation meetings and surveys were distilled into the "TAP" report, which set out a vision and objectives for our village across a range of topics: housing, employment, education, community facilities, transport and the environment.

The headline objectives were that, whilst Adderbury should grow to allow a few more homes that it should stay as a village with clear buffers from Banbury and other villages. Since then over 180 new homes have been given approval in Adderbury and therefore this plan does not make provision for any more new homes. Other important objectives were to protect the character of our village and plan for the improvement of our employment provision, services and leisure facilities over the next 15 years.

It is important to note that some of the TAP objectives, such as traffic reduction and wildlife protection can't be addressed by land use planning policies and these will be addressed in a future Parish Plan prepared by Adderbury Parish Council.


This Neighbourhood Plan contains 18 land-use planning policies that will help to meet these objectives by being used to determine planning applications in the area. The policies will aim to protect the special character of the Parish to encourage development proposals for the benefit of the local community.

These policies are summarised below to give you a flavour:

Policy AD1: Settlement Boundary

The Neighbourhood Plan defines an Adderbury Settlement Boundary closely following the built up area of Adderbury.

Proposals for infill development within the boundary will be supported, provided they accord with the design and development management policies of the development plan and other policies of the Neighbourhood Plan.



Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan: Policies Map
Inset A

Key
[Red line] Parish Boundary
[Black line] Settlement Boundary

Policies AD2,3 & 4: Green infrastructure, Local Green Spaces, Open Spaces

The Neighbourhood Plan proposes the establishment of a Green Infrastructure Network around and within the village comprising a variety of green infrastructure assets, including informal open space and green spaces, allotments, playing fields. Assets of biodiversity value, play areas, footpaths, bridleways and cycle ways.

New development will not normally be permitted on land designated as Local Green Space or Local Open Space except in very special circumstances.

The following locations are designated as **Local Green Spaces**:

- Lucy Plackett Playing Field (Off Round Close Road);
- Colin Butler Green (Registered Common);
- Adderbury Lakes Local Nature Reserve, off Lake Walk;
- Adderbury Cemetery and the Quaker Burial Grounds and Meeting House;
- Sports and community use Land to north side of Milton Road;
- Historic stonewalled lambing paddock fronting onto Aynho Road (To west of the Pickled Ploughman Public House); and
- Field with stone wall boundary abutting New Road, Adderbury. (West of Pump House Garage). Also known as Thistlewayte's Field.
- The Village Green

Local Open Spaces are:

- Former railway embankment on southern edge of the Lucy Plackett Field;
- Triangle of land at Horn Hill Road, Berry Hill Road and Milton Road;
- Cope of trees south of Greenhill and Summers Close off Banbury Road;
- The Village Pound on The Horn Hill Road, Berry Hill Road junction;
- Bloor Homes, Aynho Road;
- David Wilson Homes, south of Margaret Road;
- Rawlins Close Open Space, off Milton Road;
- The Crescent Open Space, Banbury Road;
- The Rise Open Space, off Banbury Road;
- Land to west of Adderbury Court Open Space;
- Adderbury Court Garden, Banbury Road;
- Keytes Close Amenity Area; Aynho Road;
- Sydenham Close Open Space, Aynho Road;
- Allotments, Adderbury House;
- Henry Jepp Close Open Space, Aynho Road; and
- Lake Walk Green.

Policy AD5: Local Gaps

The Neighbourhood Plan provides Local Gaps (green "buffer zones") between the village and Bodicote/Banbury and between the village and Milton to prevent the

coalescence of the settlements into one built up area. Proposals for development outside the boundary will only be supported if they are consistent with development plan policies on local landscape protection and the protection of the natural environment.

coalescence of the settlements into one built up area.

Development proposals within a Local Gap will only be supported if they do not harm, individually or cumulatively, its function and open character.

Policies AD6 to AD16: Design in Different Village Areas and Settings:

In each designated area there are appropriate design requirements encompassing such matters as: plot ratios; building height and form; type of building materials; boundary walls, fences, hedges and front gardens and their alignment to the road; tree plantings; natural verges to the highway; informal green spaces; footways; maintaining lines of sight to the Church and views of the countryside

Policy AD17: Local Heritage Assets

The Neighbourhood Plan identifies the following buildings and structures as Local Heritage Assets by way of their local architectural or historic interest:

- The Crescent
- The Pump House Garage
- The Walled Lambing Paddock, Aynho Road
- The Pound, Horn Hill Road
- Long Wall, Long Wall Path
- Twyford Tea Gardens, Banbury Road

Proposals for a change of use or alteration that will result in harm to the significance of a Local Heritage Asset, or for its demolition, will be resisted.

Policy AD18: New Community Facilities

The Plan allocates land off Milton Road, West Adderbury for sports and community uses. Proposals for such uses of the land will be supported, provided:

- Any buildings on site are ancillary to such uses and have regard to the location of the site in the West Adderbury – Milton Local Gap;
- Vehicular access is made from Milton Road and sufficient car parking spaces are provided;
- Safe pedestrian and cycle access is developed to the site; and
- The layout, the landscape scheme and any lighting have regard to the proximity of the adjoining residential and employment uses.

The recent leisure survey of Adderbury households identified multiple possible uses on the Milton Road site with a preference for a large community centre, football pitches and part woodland on the site.

Proposals for the improvement and extension of the community facilities at Lucy Plackett Fields will be supported, provided they do not undermine the visual integrity of the Local Green Space.

The leisure survey identified multiple possible uses on the Lucy Plackett Field with preference for a small community centre and an upgrade of the play area.